$~123

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010527722026**

\+ CONT.CAS(C) 2020/2026

NANDLAL KUMAR MISHRA .....Petitioner

Through: Appearance not given.

versus

MR. N. SARAVANA KUMAR .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Dhananjaya Mishra, Mr. Navneet Dogra & Ms. Amankshi Sundriyal, Advs. for R-3.
Mr. Ajit Kumar Pathak, SPC with Mr. Rishav Kashyap, G. P. and Ms. Akriti Pathak, Advocate for R-4 & R-5.
Mr. Arjun Pant, Adv. for DDA.
Mr Shivam Sachdeva, ASC with Mr Yash Agrawal & Mr Amit Kumar, Advocates for MCD.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

O R D E R

% 02.11.2026

CM APPL. 75867/2026

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

2. Application is disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 2020/2026

3. Contempt Petition under Sections 11 and 12 of Contempt of Courts Act read with Article 215 of Constitution of India has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner against the Respondent for willful and deliberate disobedience of Orders dated 23.07.2010 and 26.08.2010 passed by this Court in

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 04/11/2026 at 11:09:01

W.P.(C)749/1997, wherein following directions were issued:

> "*Wide publicity shall be given to these Orders, enjoining all occupants to maintain status quo in all respect.*
>
> *Status quo shall be maintained by all the parties hereto for a period of two weeks after the declaration of the re-development plans and/or the policy of the Government is promulgated. In the circumstances of the case, we think it proper that the responsibility of giving publicity is laid on the Municipal Corporation of Delhi.*
>
> *Writ Petitions as well as pending applications are disposed of in these terms.*"

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that despite Orders of this Court, construction is being undertaken in Khasra Nos.205, 206 and 211, Govind Mohalla, adjacent to Shiv Mandir, Village Haiderpur, Delhi, in respect of which, Legal Notice has already been served upon the Contemnors. However, no effective steps have been taken till date. Therefore, prayer is made that contempt proceedings be imitated against the Respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the Respondents appear on advance Notice and accepts Notice.

6. Learned counsel for MCD submits that Petitioner is third-party, who has no concerned with W.P.(C)749/1997. Also, Petitioner has not been able to establish his locus and has himself stated that he is not impacted in any manner with the construction allegedly being undertaken.

7. He further submits that needful is being done, and in case, any unauthorized construction is carried out, the same would be dealt with in accordance with law.

8. From the submissions made in the present Contempt Petition itself, it

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 04/11/2026 at 11:09:01

is evident that alleged construction is going on for the last two months.

9. Considering the facts, Petitioner is at liberty to seek appropriate remedy by following appropriate Writ Petition.

10. With the aforesaid observations, present Contempt Petition along with pending Applications stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

NOVEMBER 02, 2026/*R*

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 04/11/2026 at 11:09:01