



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 855/2006**

R.C.GUPTA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Suhail Khan, Mr. Farid Ahmad Nizami, Ms. Vratika Mittal, Mr. S. Sadiq Ali Khan, Advocates with son of the appellant in person.

versus

C.B.I.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Atul Guleria, SPP for CBI with Mr. Aryan Rakesh, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA

ORDER

%

16.03.2026

1. The appellant is no more.

2. The said factum of death has been verified by the respondent/CBI.

3. However, the sentence awarded by the trial court is a substantive sentence of imprisonment and fine. Therefore, it is only the substantive sentence of imprisonment that stands abated on the death of the appellant and the sentence of fine will not stand abated



under Section 394(2) Cr.P.C.

4. Advocate Mr. Suhail Khan appears on behalf of the son of the appellant and submits that the fine awarded by the trial court has already been deposited. The legal representatives of the appellant does not wish to prosecute the present appeal.

5. In view of the above, the impugned judgment dated 12.09.2006 stands confirmed.

6. The file be consigned to the record room.

CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J

MARCH 16, 2026

rs