$~131

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010515762026**

\+ W.P.(C) 15835/2026

KABIR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nitesh Garwal and Ms Anuradha, Advs.

versus

YES BANK PVT LTD & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Santosh Kumar Rout, Adv. for R1

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

O R D E R

% 28.10.2026

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers:-

> *"a) Issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus, directing the Respondent No 1 to immediately defreeze the bank account of the Applicant bearing No. 064020800000052 (YES BANK);*
>
> *b) Direct the Respondent No 1 for removal of any lien, debit freeze or restriction imposed on the said account.*

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 29/10/2026 at 12:09:55

...”

2. For the reasons stated in the petition, issue notice.

3. Mr. Rout, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No. 1 and has addressed arguments.

4. The brief facts of the present case are that the petitioner maintains a bank account with the respondent No. 1 bank, bearing account No. 064020800000052, at Branch Mayapuri Phase-I, New Delhi.

5. Mr. Garwal, learned counsel for the petitioner, draws my attention to the Closure Report dated 22.05.2026 which indicates that the disputed amount resulting in the blanket freeze of the petitioner’s aforesaid account is only Rs. 5,200/-

6. I am of the view that the freezing of the account is an action entailing serious consequences creating hardships for the petitioner and the same will result in inconvenience to the petitioner. The respondent No.1 bank cannot be permitted to take such harsh measures without complying with the principles of natural justice and any application of mind.

7. For the said reasons, the impugned action of account freezing in the present case is disproportionate and an arbitrary exercise of power thereby striking a blow at the fundamental rights of the petitioner. The impugned action is without due application of mind as an indiscriminate blanket freeze has been imposed on the account of the petitioner for an allegedly suspicious entry of a meagre amount.

8. Subject to the respondent No. 1 maintaining a lien on the disputed amount i.e. Rs. 5200/-, the petitioner shall be permitted to operate the bank account bearing number 064020800000052 maintained with the respondent No. 1 bank.

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 29/10/2026 at 12:09:55

9. Additionally, the petitioner is entitled to avail its rights under the SOP for unfreezing the disputed amount, i.e. Rs. 5200/-.

10. The petition is allowed and disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

JASMEET SINGH, J

OCTOBER 28, 2026/AS

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 29/10/2026 at 12:09:55