



\$~R-2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 1037/2006**

BALWAN SINGH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Nilanjan Dey, Advocate

versus

C.B.I.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Atul Guleria, SPP with Mr. Aryan
Rakesh, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA

ORDER

02.04.2026

%

1. Both sides are represented.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent/CBI submits that the death of the appellant has been verified. However, the sentence awarded by the trial court is a substantive sentence of imprisonment and fine. Therefore, it is only the substantive sentence of imprisonment that stands abated on the death of the appellant and the sentence of fine will not stand abated under Section 394(2) Cr.P.C.

3. The learned counsel for the appellant submits that the fine



amount has already been deposited and that he does not want to challenge the impugned judgment as far as the imposition of fine is concerned.

4. Hence, nothing remains to be decided in the appeal. The sentence regarding fine is confirmed.

5. File be consigned to the record room.

CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J

APRIL 2, 2026

RS