$~129

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010515532026**

\+ W.P.(C) 15815/2026 & CM APPL. 74001/2026

ANJALI LOWANSHI .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Chirayu Jain, Mr. Vrajesh Gupta, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL BOARD OF EXAMINATION IN MEDICAL SCIENCES & ORS. .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Waize Ali Noor, Mr. Mrinal Kumar Sharma, Mr. Zillur Rahman, Advs. for R-1

Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh Rudy, CGSC with Ms. Usha Jamnal, Ms. Nyasa Sharma, Mr. Abhinav Mall, Adv Ms. Nishtha Dhall, Advs. for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

O R D E R

% 28.10.2026

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers :-

"A. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing the Respondents to verify the MBBS aggregate percentage entered by the Petitioner in her NEET-PG 2026 application form against her original university marksheets and, if the calculation is found correct in accordance with the applicable rules, to rectify the aggregate percentage from 68.08% to 68.12%, preferably before the Petitioner's document verification stage;

B. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 29/10/2026 at 12:04:18

the Respondents to consider the Petitioner's request and candidature in accordance with law, after taking into account the original marksheets, the bona fide nature of the calculation error and any actual effect, if any, on tie-breaking, and not to treat the discrepancy as deliberate misrepresentation without such consideration;…"

2. For the reasons stated in the petition, issue notice.

3. Mr. Noor, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1 and Ms. Rudy, learned CGSC, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 2. Learned counsels for the parties have been heard. There is nobody appearing for respondent No. 3.

4. In the present case, the petitioner completed her MBBS from Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose Medical College, Jabalpur, affiliated with Madhya Pradesh Medical Science University, Jabalpur. While filling up the application form for NEET-PG, 2026, the petitioner inadvertently entered her MBBS aggregate percentage as 68.08% relying upon the online results published by her University for the first-year examination, where she secured 655 marks out of 1020 marks.

5. However, on subsequently comparing the online results with the final marksheet issued to the petitioner, the petitioner discovered that the marks recorded were 575 out of 900, instead of 655 out of 1020, which changed her percentage from 68.08% to 68.12%.

6. Mr. Jain, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is not seeking any alteration in her rank, preferences, entitlement or seat allocation on account of the difference in the percentage of marks

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 29/10/2026 at 12:04:18

from 68.08% to 68.12%. The limited apprehension of the petitioner is that her candidature may be cancelled on account of the aforesaid bona fide error in reporting her aggregate percentage.

7. I am of the view that the request made by the petitioner is fair and reasonable. I have also taken a similar view in W.P.(C) 12985/2026, titled ***Chakarala Likith Reddy v. National Board of Examination in Medical Sciences*** decided on 07.09.2026, in similar circumstances.

8. From the narration of facts, it appears that the petitioner has secured an aggregate of 68.12% marks. However, owing to an inadvertent error, she reported her aggregate percentage as 68.08% in the application form. The petitioner is willing to abide by the lower percentage of 68.08%, notwithstanding her actual aggregate percentage of 68.12%.

9. It is clarified that the petitioner is not seeking any alteration in her rank, preferences, entitlement or seat allocation. Her limited request is that her candidature should not be cancelled solely on account of the aforesaid discrepancy in the reporting of her aggregate percentage.

10. In the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that the petitioner's request is fair and reasonable, particularly when the discrepancy appears to have arisen from a bona fide and inadvertent error and the petitioner is not seeking to derive any advantage from the same.

11. The petitioner has, in fact, expressed her willingness to accept the lower percentage of 68.08% as declared in her application form, notwithstanding her actual aggregate percentage of 68.12%.

12. In view of the above, and subject to the petitioner filing an affidavit

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 29/10/2026 at 12:04:18

within two days from today, affirming that she shall abide by the aggregate percentage of 68.08% as declared in her application form and shall not seek any consequential alteration in her rank, preferences, entitlement or seat allocation on the basis of the higher percentage, it is directed that the petitioner's candidature shall not be rejected solely on account of the aforesaid discrepancy in the calculation and reporting of her aggregate percentage.

13. With these directions, the petition is disposed of.

14. *Dasti.*

JASMEET SINGH, J

OCTOBER 28, 2026/sp

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 29/10/2026 at 12:04:18