



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11326/2025 and CM APPL. 67514/2025**

ROHIT KOHLI AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Shrey Chathly, Adv.

versus

GNCTD AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nitika Bhutani, Adv for GNCTD.
Mr. Santosh Kumar Rout, Adv for R-
3 and 4.
SI Shankar, PS Dwarka South.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **14.01.2026**

1. *Vide* order dated 31.10.2025, the Court had issued certain directions. In compliance to the said directions, the Delhi Police and the Uttarakhand Police were directed to intimate the concerned Bank regarding the specific amount which is the subject matter of the criminal complaint.
2. Learned counsel appearing for respondent no.3 and 4/Bank submits that he has not received any intimation from any of the Police Authorities.
3. Learned counsel for the Delhi Police contends that the necessary information was forwarded to the Uttarakhand Police and freezing has been taken at the instance of Uttarakhand Police, and, therefore, in absence of any intimation or information from Uttarakhand Police, he is unable to point out any exact amount of dispute.



4. Under these circumstances, the Court finds that there is no reason as to why the freezing of the petitioners' account should continue. There has to be some basis justifying the continuous freezing of the petitioners' account. The same would obviously be highly prejudicial to the business interest of the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners rightly points out that his entire business has been paralyzed on account of unilateral decision taken by the authorities without affording any opportunity of hearing.

6. Under these circumstances, the petition stands allowed. The account numbers mentioned in prayer 'a' are directed to be de-frozen by the concerned Bank.

7. The concerned Police authorities shall be at liberty to take further action, if they have any concrete material against the petitioners. However, such an action must be brought to the notice of the petitioners enabling him to take appropriate recourse.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

JANUARY 14, 2026

aks/mj