$~92

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010481022026**

\+ W.P.(C) 14776/2026 & CM APPLs. 69270-71/2026

SACHIN BHARDWAJ .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. M.K. Bhardwaj & Ms. Sakshi Sangat, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS .....Respondents

Through: Mr. Himanshu Pathak, SPC with Mr. Mohit Gupta & Mr. Kunal Rai, Advocates for R-1.
Mr. Amish Tandon, Ms. Ria Dhawan & Ms. Harshita Raj, Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

O R D E R

% 06.10.2026

1. By way of the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution, the petitioner challenges an office order dated 02.09.2026, by which respondent No. 2 – National Automative Board, has transferred his services from International Centre for Automotive Technology, Manesar to National Institute of Automotive Inspection, Maintenance & Training ["NIAIMT"], Silchar, with effect from 15.09.2026.

2. During the course of hearing, Mr. Amish Tandon, learned counsel for respondent No. 2, who appears on advance notice, has handed up a copy of an email dated 29.06.2026 in the Court, which is taken on record.

3. In the email dated 29.06.2026, the petitioner himself has sought an extension of 10 days for joining NIAIMT, while contending that the time

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 09/10/2026 at 13:33:33

is required for completion of formalities, and "*only for winding up and to move from Ghaziabad*".

4. Mr. M.K. Bhardwaj, learned counsel for the petitioner, sought a pass over to enable him to take instructions, as he was not aware that the petitioner has sent the said email. At the second call, Mr. Bhardwaj seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition, but seeks liberty for the petitioner to make a representation to his employer, after taking over at NIAIMT, Silchar.

5. It may be noted that, in the writ petition, the petitioner has expressed an apprehension that his pay and allowances will be revised to his detriment upon the transfer.

6. As far as this contention is concerned, Mr. Tandon states that the petitioner's pay and allowances will be fixed in accordance with law, and that pay protection will be granted, if applicable.

7. The writ petition, alongwith pending applications, is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as aforesaid.

8. It is made clear that this Court has not made any comment on the merits of any future representation that may be submitted by the petitioner.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

OCTOBER 6, 2026
"pv"*/MK/*

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 09/10/2026 at 13:33:33