$~97

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010480982026**

\+ CONT.CAS(C) 1848/2026 & CM APPL. 69259/2026, CM APPL. 69260/2026, CM APPL. 69261/2026.

SMT. PRADEEP KAUR @ DEEPTI .....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

versus

STATION HOUSE OFFICER .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Tiwari, CGSC, Ms. Ayushi Srivastava, Adv, Mr. Kushagra Malik, Advs. along with SI Dharmender Meena for Delhi Police.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

O R D E R

% 06.10.2026

1. Contempt petition has been filed under Section 2(b) read with Sections 11/12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India for violations of directions given in the Order dated 17.12.2025 in W.P (Crl.) 4176/2025. It is submitted that there are two pertinent directions given which are as under:

> *"8. Prayer (c): The concern regarding alleged disclosure or supply of the FIR copy, and the use of the FIR in other proceedings, has already been addressed by the Trial Court. On the Petitioner's protest petition, noting that filing of the FIR copy by the Petitioner's estranged husband in proceedings at Saket Courts prima facie discloses violation of Section 228A IPC, the SHO has been directed to register an FIR and investigate the said offence. Once such a direction exists, the matter must proceed in the manner contemplated by law. No further writ directions are required to duplicate or micro-manage what has already been ordered by the competent criminal court. Nonetheless, to ensure that directions issued by the Trial Court are effectively implemented, the SHO concerned is directed to file*

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 08/10/2026 at 11:13:59

a status report before the Trial Court, if not already filed, within four weeks from today, indicating the steps undertaken.

xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx

10. Prayer (e): The request for protection cannot be treated as an incidental afterthought in a prosecution under Section 376 IPC. The State is under a duty to ensure that the prosecutrix and her family are not subjected to intimidation or retaliation. The Witness Protection Scheme, 2018 provides for such measures. The SHO concerned shall ensure that a threat perception assessment is conducted within two weeks from today and shall take protective measures commensurate with such assessment, in accordance with law."

2. In respect of paragraph 8, the only direction was given that SHO be directed to file a Status Report before the Trial Court if not already filed within four weeks from today indicating the steps taken.

3. First and foremost, it is not forthcoming that a Status Report has been filed before the trial Court and if filed or not filed, it is the Petitioner, who is at liberty to approach the trial court for the needful.

4. In so far as the directions in paragraph 10 are concerned, it has been informed by the Petitioner herself that on her complaint with regard to the threat perception was forwarded by the concerned in-charge to the Witness Protection Committee wherein a Meeting was held on 15.07.2026. The Petitioner also participated in the Meeting, however, the Committee has reported that there was no urgency in the matter.

5. It is pertinent to observe that the same Writ Petition and Order dated 14.01.2026 was made by the Coordinate Bench wherein similar grievance was voiced by the Petitioner, in respect of the non-compliance of the

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 08/10/2026 at 11:13:59

directions contained in paragraphs 8 and 10 of the Order dated 17.12.2025. The application was disposed of with the direction to the learned Trial Court to ensure the compliance of directions contained in the Order dated 17.12.2025.

6. In view of the submissions made, there is no contempt made out in the present petition which is hereby, disposed of.

7. The Petitioner is at liberty to approach the learned Trial Court with her grievance, who may pass appropriate orders.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

OCTOBER 06, 2026/dss

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 08/10/2026 at 11:13:59