$~142

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010478872026**

\+ W.P.(C) 14711/2026

NISHA GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, Mr. Vignaraj Pasayat, Mr. Naman Aggarwal, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms Latika Malhotra, SPC for R1/UOI
Mr. Abhishek Baid, Mr. Mohit Kumar Bafna, Mr. Praneet Das and Mr. Ravinder Kumar for R2/RBI
Mr. Narendra Hooda, Senior Advocate along with Ms. Nishi Chaudhary, Mr. Yashartha Gupta, Mr. Mayuresh Rishabh, Advs. for R4

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

O R D E R

% 05.10.2026

CM APPL. 68820/2026

Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.

The application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 14711/2026

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers:-

> *"A. Issue a writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, directing Respondent No. 1 to direct Respondent No. 2, under Section 35 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, to cause an inspection and/or*

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 08/10/2026 at 12:22:11

scrutiny into the affairs and conduct of Respondent No. 3 and Respondent No. 4, as set out in the representations dated 08.09.2026 and 16.09.2026, within a time-bound period as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit;

B. In the alternative, and without prejudice to prayer (a) above, issue a writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, directing Respondent No. 2 to cause such inspection and/or scrutiny directly under Section 35(1) of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, in exercise of its own suo motu power;

C. Issue a writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, directing Respondent No. 1 to consider and decide the Petitioner's representation dated 16.09.2026, and Respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the Petitioner's representation dated 08.09.2026, each by way of a reasoned, speaking order, within a time frame to be fixed by this Hon'ble Court, after affording the Petitioner an opportunity of hearing. ...”

2. For the reasons stated in the petition, issue notice.

3. Ms. Malhotra, Mr. Bafna and Ms. Chaudhary, learned counsels, accept notice on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 4 respectively.

4. Mr. Chaudhary, learned counsel for the petitioner, states that the petitioner is only limiting her prayer to the extent of respondent No. 1 considering and deciding the petitioner’s representations dated 08.09.2026 and 16.09.2026 within a reasonable time.

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 08/10/2026 at 12:22:11

5. In view of the said limited prayer, I am inclined to allow the same. Respondent No. 1 is hereby directed to consider the petitioner's representations dated 08.09.2026 and 16.09.2026 and pass a speaking order within 4 weeks from today, if not already so done.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J

OCTOBER 5, 2026/AS

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 08/10/2026 at 12:22:11