$~16 and 18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010477662026**

\+ **BAIL APPLN. 4208/2026**

SAURABH NAGAR .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sudhir Naagar, Mr. Vikas Chandila & Mr. Akhil Gusain, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP for State with SI Pulkit, P.S.: Shahdara.

18

\# **CNR No. DLHC010478552026**

\+ **BAIL APPLN. 4222/2026**

GAURAV NAGAR .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sudhir Naagar, Mr. Vikas Chandila & Mr. Akhil Gusain, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP for State with SI Pulkit, P.S.: Shahdara.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

O R D E R

% 03.11.2026

1. The present bail applications have been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ('BNSS') for grant of regular bail in SC No. 114/2026 arising out of FIR No. 270/2025, dated 15th August,

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 04/11/2026 at 11:04:07

2025 registered under Sections 109(1)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ('BNS') and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959.

2. It is stated that both the applicants are brothers and have been in custody since 20th August, 2025.

3. Notice in the present application was issued on 5th October, 2026.

4. The case of the prosecution is that on 15th August, 2025, an altercation took place between the applicants, the co-accused Chirag Bansal, and Akhil Panwar. The friends of the parties attempted to pacify the said parties however, the fight intensified and the applicants fired gunshots at the Akhil Panwar with the intention of killing him. The injured suffered three (3) gunshot injuries. Thereafter the applicants, along with the co-accused fled from the spot.

5. The chargesheet has already been filed on 11th November, 2025. The matter is currently at the stage of arguments on charge.

6. Counsel for the applicants submits that there has been an unexplained delay in filing the FIR and that no recovery of weapons have been made from the applicants.

7. Counsel for the applicants submits that the matter is at the stage of arguments on charge. He submits that the prosecution has cited 50 witnesses and hence, and that the trial is likely to take considerable time.

8. Counsel for the applicant further submits that one of the co-accused, one Chirag Bansal, who is allegedly the main conspirator has been granted bail on 7th May, 2026.

9. The Status Report on behalf of the State is on record which opposes the grant of bail on the ground of gravity of the allegations and seriousness of offence.

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 04/11/2026 at 11:04:07

10. Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP for the State vehemently opposes the bail application and the applicants were involved in participating in murderous assault on the injured/Akhil Panwar by firing gunshots at him. The injured/Akhil Panwar has also sustained fire arm injuries which are serious in nature.

11. I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the material on record.

12. Chargesheet has already been filed in the case. However, charges are yet to be framed. The trial is likely to take considerable amount of time.

13. In terms of the nominal roll, which has been placed on record, the applicants have been in custody for about fourteen (14) months. Their overall conduct in jail has been reported to be 'satisfactory'. Both the applicants have clean antecedents and are not required in any case.

14. The injured/Akhil Panwar is present in Court and submits that the applicants as well as the injured/Akhil Panwar were neighbours and childhood friends. Hence, he does not oppose the bail application.

15. Considering the totality of facts and circumstances as narrated above and taking into account that the trial is like to take considerable time, the young age of the applicants, and that the co-accused person has been granted bail, in my opinion the applicants cannot be kept under incarceration for an indefinite period of time. Therefore, this Court considers it fit to grant bail to the applicants.

16. For the foregoing reasons, the present application is allowed and the applicants are directed to be released on bail, subject to the applicants furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, and further subject to the

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 04/11/2026 at 11:04:07

following conditions:

a. The applicants shall provide their latest mobile number(s) to the concerned Investigating Officer ("I.O.")/Station House Officer ("S.H.O."), which shall be kept in a working condition at all times. The mobile number shall not be switched off or changed without prior intimation to the I.O.

b. The applicants shall furnish their permanent address to the I.O., as well as the address where he is residing during the pendency of the matter, within one (1) week from today, and will inform the I.O. and this Court in case of any change in address.

c. The applicants will appear before the Trial Court as and when the matter is listed for hearing.

d. The applicants shall not leave the country without the permission of the Court.

e. The applicants shall not commit any offence during the period of their release.

f. The applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case or tamper with the evidence of the case in any manner whatsoever.

17. Needless to state that any observations made herein are purely for the purposes of deciding the question of grant of bail and shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case.

18. Copy of the order be forwarded to the Jail Superintendent for necessary compliance.

AMIT BANSAL, J

NOVEMBER 3, 2026/*at*

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 04/11/2026 at 11:04:07