



2026:DHC:2361-DB



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 291/2013 & CM APPL. 35643/2016

S K GUPTA & ORS.

.....Appellants

Through: Ms. Swathi Sukumar Sr. Adv.
with Mr. Kunwar Karan, Mr. Ritik
Raghuwanshi, Mr. Rishika Agarwal, Mr.
Shekhar Mann, Advs.

versus

THE CHAIRMAN AIRPORT
AUTHORITY OF INDIA

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Anjana Gosain and Ms.
Shreya Manjari, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA

ORDER(ORAL)

%

13.03.2026

C.HARI SHANKAR, J.

1. Learned Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the position of the appellants in the present case is identical to that of the appellants in LPA 294/2013¹ and that, in fact these appeals are directed against the same impugned judgment of the learned Single Judge.

2. Ms. Sukumar, learned Senior Counsel for the appellants only points that, unlike the appellants in *Sanjive Chamoli* the appellants in

Signature Not Verified ¹ Sanjive Chamoli AGM & Ors v. Union of India & Anr.

Signed By: ANUBHAW LPA 291/2013

TRIPATHI

Signing Date: 23.03.2026

10:02:25

Page 1 of 2



2026:DHC:2361-DB



the present case are yet to be released pensionary benefits in terms of Rule 37(A) of the Central Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1972², though they have exercised their options.

3. We accordingly dispose of the present appeal in terms of the order dated 4 February 2026 in LPA 294/2013 further clarifying that, consequent to the option exercised by the appellants, pensionary benefits would be released to them in accordance with Rule 37(A) of the CCS (Pension) Rules on the basis of the last pay drawn.

4. The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

MARCH 13, 2026/ss

Signature Not Verified² the CCS (Pension) Rules, hereinafter

Signed By: ANUBHA TRIPATHI LPA 291/2013

Signing Date: 23.03.2026
10:02:25

Page 2 of 2