



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 12776/2019 and CM APPL. 9712/2021, CM APPL.
21502/2022
WEST BENGAL KABADDI ASSOCIATES

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr Rajiv Tyagi Adv, Mr Rohit Gupta,
Advocates

versus

AMATEUR KABADDI FEDERATION OF INDIA (AKFI) & ORS
.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Anjuman Tripathy, Mr. Mohit
Singh Sikarwar, Advocates for R-1
Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj, CGSC for
R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV
ORDER
% **12.03.2026**

1. The Supreme Court in the Writ Petition (Civil) No. 93/2025 tiled ***Priyanka and Anr. vs. Union of India & Ors.***, is ceased with the broader issues for administration of Amateur Kabaddi Federation of India. The order dated 04.02.2025 would indicate that the Government of India has been directed to take the immediate instructions *qua* following issues:

“(i) *To explore the diplomatic channels for resolution of the conflict re: recognition of Sports Associations, most urgently the Kabaddi Federation of India.*

(ii) *The Director, CBI would suggest an investigation mechanism for effective domestic and international probe, with the assistance of*



International Investigating Agencies such as the INTERPOL, into the affairs of the Sports Federation.

(iii) To ensure that the Kabaddi players and other sports persons are allowed to participate in international competitions, including the ensuing Asian Kabaddi Championship at Iran.

(iv) To find out whether the Electoral Roll of the Kabaddi Federation of India is valid and transparent, and the State Units are represented by genuinely elected/nominated persons, who can be permitted to participate in the election of Governing body of the National Federation.”

2. In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for the following directions:

“a. Issue a Writ of Certiorari calling for the records pertaining to the approving of the nomination of the Respondent No. 3 for Electoral College of the Respondent No. 1- Amateur Kabaddi Federation of India culminating into the passing of the Order dated 23.01.2019 by the Respondent No. 2 and after going through the same, quash and set aside the Order dated 23.01.2019 passed by the Ld. Administrator approving the nomination of the Respondent No. 3 for Electoral Collage of the Respondent No. 1- Amateur Kabaddi Federation of India; and

b. Issue a Writ of Prohibition proscribing the Respondent No. 2 from nominating the Respondent No. 3 to the Electoral College for the election of the office bearers of the Respondent No. 1 despite its Constitution being non-compliant with the Sports Code; and despite the Respondent No. 3 not being an elected body in compliance with the Model Elections Guidelines laid down by this Hon'ble Court; and from taking decisions or acting in a manner which exceeds his jurisdiction; nor to act contrary to the settled principles of the rules of Natural Justice; equity and good conscience while taking decisions regarding the management of the affairs of the Respondent No. 1 and particularly for nominating the Respondent No. 3 to the Electoral College for the election of the office bearers of the Respondent No. 1 ;and

c. Issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the Respondent No. 2 to approve the name of the Petitioner for nomination to the Electoral College for the election of the office bearers of the Respondent No. 1 Federation”

3. The issues, thus, raised herein seems to be overlapping with the broader consideration being made by the Supreme Court.

4. This Court vide decision dated 12.11.2025 in the case of **Manojan**



Rajan and Ors. vs. Amateur Kabaddi Federation of India (AKFI) and Ors. and other connected matters¹, has disposed of certain writ petitions while granting the liberty to the petitioners to revive the writ petitions, in case, there grievance is not fully mitigated by the pending adjudication in the Supreme Court.

5. Under these circumstances, this Court deems it appropriate, and, accordingly, dispose of the instant writ petition with liberty to the petitioner either to revive the writ petition or to file a fresh petition, in case, its grievance is not fully mitigated after adjudication of the issues pending before the Supreme Court in the case of ***Priyanka and Anr. vs. Union of India & Ors.***

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

MARCH 12, 2026

aks

¹ 2025:DHC:9974