



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 912/2015 & CM APPL. 1595/2015**

KAILASH KUMARI & ORS

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Pathak, Adv.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC with Mr.
Shivam Takiar, Mr. Prateek Dhir, Mr.
Kuljeet Singh, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

09.02.2026

%

1. Ms. Pathak, learned counsel appears on behalf of the petitioner and states that despite their best efforts, no instructions are forthcoming from the petitioner.
2. For the said reasons, the petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. As and when the petitioner seeks revival of the present petition, the same shall be granted subject to filing of an application.
4. The petition is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J

FEBRUARY 9, 2026/sp