$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010458662026**

\+ W.P.(C) 14120/2026 & CM APPL. 73890/2026

IES UNIVERSITY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay A. Anturkar, Adv., Mr. Sarthak Mehrotra, Adv., Ms. Surbhi Kapoor, Adv., Mr. Dhruv Tank, Adv., Mr. Uday Gautam, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Kumar Prashant and Ms Simran Chhabra, Advs

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

O R D E R

% 29.10.2026

1. This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers :-

> *"a. issue a writ of mandamus or a writ/order/direction in the nature thereof directing the Respondents to grant permission to the Petitioner to admit 60 students to the BAMS course for AY 2026-27, subject to the final outcome of this Petition and such undertakings as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit;*
>
> *b. issue a writ of mandamus or a writ/order/direction in the nature thereof, directing Respondent No. 1 to decide the Petitioners pending 2nd Appeal dated 19.08.2026 in an expedited, time-bound manner;*

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 31/10/2026 at 12:12:22

c. issue a writ of mandamus or a writ/order/direction in the nature thereof, directing that the Petitioners name may not be included in the List as published by the Respondent being 'Existing Ayurveda Colleges Denied Permission for the Academic Year 2026–27 (Status as of 18 September 2026, 11:00 AM)';

d. issue a writ of certiorari, or a writ/order/direction in the nature thereof, quashing and setting aside the Order dated 12.08.2026 passed by Respondent No. 2 in the 1st Appeal, and the Order dated 08.07.2026 passed by Respondent No. 3…"

2. Pursuant to the orders of this Court dated 09.09.2026 and 15.10.2026, the petitioner institute has already been inspected. The learned counsel for the respondent No. 2 states that second appeal of the petitioner dated 19.08.2026 has also been decided.

3. Since the permission to admit 60 students has been denied and the petitioner was successfully running the course for the last five years, it is directed that the respondents shall pass a speaking order, pursuant to the inspection, within one week from today.

4. In case any explanation is required with regard to any deficiencies, the same shall be sought within the said period of 7 days.

5. With these directions, the petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file afresh in case of any subsequent cause of action/grievances.

6. The Court has not adjudicated on the merits and demerits of the issue in controversy.

7. The orders dated 06.10.2026 and 15.10.2026 shall deem to record the appearance of Mr. Kumar Prashant, learned Standing Counsel for

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 31/10/2026 at 12:12:22

respondent No. 2.

JASMEET SINGH, J

OCTOBER 29, 2026/NR

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 31/10/2026 at 12:12:22