



\$~4 & 5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 320/2014

ANIL KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through: None.

versus

CBI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP, CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Adv.

5

+ CRL.A. 334/2014

SURINDER KUMAR BEHL

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Dilip Pandita, Adv.

versus

STATE THROUGH CBI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP, CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIMAL KUMAR YADAV

ORDER

%

10.04.2026

CRL.A. 320/2014

1. Hybrid Mode.
2. None for the Appellant.
3. The death of the Appellant has been verified and reported by the CBI.
4. In view of the death of the Appellant, the appeal stands abated inasmuch as his legal heirs do not seemingly want to continue with the proceedings.



CRL.A. 334/2014

5. The factum of death of the Appellant has been verified and reported by the CBI, which is part of record.
6. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellant states that the legal heirs of the Appellant are not interested to pursue with the appeal any more.
7. In view of the death of the Appellant, the appeal stands abated.

VIMAL KUMAR YADAV, J

APRIL 10, 2026/akc