



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 11682/2019
GANGA BISHAN (DECEASED) THROUGH ITS LEGAL HEIRS
AND ORS.Petitioners

Through: Ms. Ritu Chaudhary, Mr. Aman
Mudga, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Sahil Munjal SPC with Ms. Rhea
Gandhi, Adv for R1

Ms. Shobhana Takiar Standing Counsel for DDA
with Mr. Shivam Takiar, Mr. Prateek Dhir, Mr.
Kuljeet Singh, Adv. for DDA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

09.03.2026

%

1. This is a writ petition under Article 226 read with Article 227 of the Constitution of India seeking directions for the compliance of the Order dated 21.03.2018 passed by the respondent No. 2.
2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioners are legal heirs of late Ganga Bishan and were the original residents of Village Nangal Dewat, New Delhi. Their house and agriculture land being Khasra No. 1243 and 1218 (0-9) respectively, were in the name of their grandfather Sh. Dedha. Since, their father passed away in 1950s, therefore, after the death of Sh. Dedha, land bearing Khasra No. 1218 (0-9) was transferred to the petitioners *vide* Intikal No. 609 dated 31.12.1961 with 1/15 share each.
3. That the entire agricultural land of village Nangal Dewat, New Delhi had already been acquired for development of IGI Airport. The same was initiated by issuing notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act,



1894 (“**LA Act**”) on 28.04.1972 which was followed by declaration/notices under sections 6, 9 & 10 of LA Act.

4. That after issuance of notification no further action was taken by the respondent No.1. Thereafter, about 10 years later, in November 1981 a boundary wall was raised around the village abadi land by the respondent No. 1. Thus, there was a delay of about 10 years in completing the acquisition proceedings pursuant to issuance of notification. During the said period the villagers were confronted with large number of problems including but not limited to expansion of families, constructions undertaken by them. The villagers thus being aggrieved challenged said notifications in a writ petition bearing CWP No. 481/ 1982, before this Court.

5. That during pendency of the said writ petition, the Land Acquisition Collector (“**LAC**”) made an Award dated 14.08.1986 pertaining to the village abadi land. *Vide* order dated 18.09.1986 passed in the said writ petition, this Court stayed implementation of the Award and granted time to Union of India to consider the question of rehabilitation and resettlement of the villagers.

6. Thereafter, this Court passed orders in the said writ petition for facilitating the allotment of alternative plots to the eligible persons and through order dated 28.04.2004 Sh S.S.Kanawat, ADM was appointed as Nodal Officer for redressal of grievances regarding categorization and entitlement to alternative plots. The Nodal Officer submitted a consolidated list of 316 persons entitled for allotment of alternative/ rehabilitation plots before this Court, which included the name of the petitioners.

7. The LAC appointed by the Court, by the Order bearing No. 56/2004, held that the name of the petitioners were there in the Survey report and



Naksha Muntjameen. Subsequently, the Nodal Officer had also confirmed the said status. Thus, the petitioners were found eligible for allotment of alternate plots. Consequently, the allotment letter were issued to the petitioners by the Delhi Development Authority (“DDA”).

8. The said order was challenged by the respondent No.1 and this Court directed the ADM to decide the matter with respect to their eligibility for individual plots.

9. Consequently, the ADM after due hearing, *vide* order dated 21.03.2018, alternatively allotted individual plots to the petitioners ad-measuring 64 sq. mtr. and worked out the allocation of the petitioner as under:

“...I also have no hesitation in accepting the contention of AAI which states that mere on the basis of an affidavit the land of the respondents was divided in three equal parts due to the fact that the same amounts to violation of the Hon’ble High Court order dated 19.02.2013 in LPA 429/2007 and guidelines framed by the Hon’ble High Court in WP.C No. 482/1982.

Therefore, as per existing guidelines and in the light of Hon’ble High Court order dated 19.02.2013, the entitlement of all the respondents as per norms for allotment of alternative plots in village Malikpur Kohi @ Rangpuri is given below:



Sr. No.	Name of person	Khasra No.	Total acquired land	Size of plot in Sq. Mtr	Remarks
1.	Ganga Bishan S/o Manphool	1218	30 Sq. Yards	64	Sh. Ganga Bishan is also found entitled for allotment of alternative plot with respect to Khasra No. 1243, in Old Lal Dora
		1243	56 Sq. Yards		
		Total	86 Sq. Yards or 71.90 Sq. Mtr		
2.	Khazan Singh S/o Manphool	1218	30 Sq. yards or 25.08 Sq. Mtr.	26	Khazan singh is not found entitled for allotment of alternative plot with respect to Khasra No. 1243
3.	Ram Kishan S/o Manphool	1218	30 Sq. yards or 25.08 Sq. Mtr.	26	Ram Kishan is not found entitled for allotment of alternative plot with respect to Khasra No. 1243

10. Despite having the order passed by the ADM in favour of the petitioners no steps have been taken by the DDA for the compliance of the aforesaid order dated 21.03.2018.

11. Perusal of the above shows that as per the said order, the petitioners are entitled to allotment of plot measuring 64 sq. mtr. in lieu of their acquired land. It is an admitted fact that the order dated 21.03.2018 has not been challenged by any of the parties and the only challenge to the said order is by Khajan Singh, i.e., petitioner in W.P.(C) 2069/2020. The said petition has been dismissed for non-prosecution. In this view of the matter,



the order of 21.03.2018 shall be complied expeditiously and in any case not later than 6 months from today.

12. The petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

MARCH 9, 2026/AS

JASMEET SINGH, J