



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 11646/2019 and CM APPL. 47839/2019 (stay)

M/S CANDID OPTRONIX (P) LTD.Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sudhendu P. Gautam, Advocate

versus

SH. BASANT PRAJAPATIRespondent
Through: Mr. Anuj Aggarwal, Ms. Kritika Matta,
Ms. Tanya Rose, Ms. Bhumica Kundra and
Ms. Shreya Gupta, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

11.02.2026

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India seeking setting aside of the impugned order dated 09.10.2019 passed by the Presiding Officer, Labour Court–IX, Rouse Avenue Courts, whereby the application filed for setting aside the order dated 26.08.2019 was dismissed.
2. Notably, vide the aforesaid order dated 26.08.2019, the appellant/management was proceeded *ex-parte*.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the application seeking setting aside of the aforesaid order was filed on the very same day.
4. Learned counsel for the respondent fairly does not oppose the request made on behalf of the petitioner.
5. In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 09.10.2019 is set aside. The order dated 26.08.2019 proceeding



the petitioner *ex parte* is also set aside. The matter is remanded to the concerned Labour Court for adjudication in accordance with law.

6. Considering that the reference pertains to the year 2017, the concerned Labour Court would consider expediting the claim proceedings.

DASTI.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 11, 2026

na