



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ OMP (ENF.) (COMM.) 191/2019, EX.APPL.(OS) 753/2019
(U/O XXI RULE 41 R/W Section. 151 CPC) & EX.APPL.(OS)
754/2019 (For Delay 2 days in re-filing the petition)

M/S. KALKI COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES PVT.
LTD.Decree Holder

Through: Mr. Bharadwaj S. Iyengar, Adv.

versus

M/S. VAYAM TECHNOLOGIES LTD. ...Judgement Debtor

Through: Mr. Samarendra Kumar, Mr.
Sandeep Soni, Mr. Vishnu
Jaysaval, Mr. Adarsh Raj
Singh, Ms. Priyanka Singh &
Mr. Sumit Chancha, Adv. for
Union Bank of India.
Mr. Tarunesh Kumar, Adv. for
Bank of Baroda.
Mr. Yashraj Singh, Adv. for
Resolution Professional

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR

ORDER
17.02.2026

%

1. The present petition has been preferred under Section 36(1) and (2) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, seeking enforcement of the Award dated 13.11.2018.
2. The Judgment Debtor company is stated to have been admitted to the corporate insolvency resolution process under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016.
3. Learned counsel, Mr. Yashraj Singh, appearing on behalf of the



Resolution Professional, states that the claim of the Decree Holder has been provisionally admitted.

4. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the present petition may be disposed of with directions that, in the event the resolution process does not culminate in a determination of the claims of the parties or otherwise remains indeterminate, thereby necessitating the Decree Holder to pursue enforcement of the award against the Judgment Debtor, appropriate liberty be reserved.

5. In view of the aforesaid submissions, the present petition, along with all pending application(s), if any, stands dismissed with liberty to revive the same as and when the need so arises.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
FEBRUARY 17, 2026/v/kr/dj