



\$~5 to 10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 1476/2023 and CM APPLs.63072/2023, 1251/2024, 12553/2024, 15624/2024, 19806/2024, 37040/2024, 38003/2026, 38004/2026, 38005/2026

SANJAY MEHRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akhil Sibal, Sr. Adv. alongwith
Mr. Manish Baila, Mr. Devesh Ratan
and Mr. Ridhie Bajaj, Advocates.

versus

SHARAD MEHRA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. C.M. Lall, Sr. Adv., Mr.
Peeyoosh Kalra, Mr. Ishith Arora, Mr.
Ritwik Sharma and Ms. Annanya
Mehan, Advs.
Mr. Saurabh Kumar and Mr. Saksham
Chaturvedi, Advocates for Linked In.
Corporation.

6

+ CONT.CAS(C) 1862/2024 and CM APPLs.44697/2025, 49895/2025
SANJAY MEHRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akhil Sibal, Sr. Adv. alongwith
Mr. Manish Baila, Mr. Devesh Ratan
and Mr. Ridhie Bajaj, Advocates.

versus

SHARAD MEHRA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. C.M. Lall, Sr. Adv., Mr.
Peeyoosh Kalra, Mr. Ishith Arora, Mr.
Ritwik Sharma and Ms. Annanya
Mehan, Advs.

7

+ CONT.CAS(C) 1880/2024 and CM APPLs.69171/2024, 69586/2024
MR SHARAD MEHRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. C.M. Lall, Sr. Adv., Mr.
Peeyoosh Kalra, Mr. Ishith Arora, Mr.
Ritwik Sharma and Ms. Annanya
Mehan, Advs.

versus



8
+
SANJAY MEHRARespondent
Through: Mr. Akhil Sibal, Sr. Adv. alongwith
Mr. Manish Baila, Mr. Devesh Ratan
and Mr. Ridhie Bajaj, Advocates.

CONT.CAS(C) 1958/2024 and CM APPL.72444/2024
SANJAY MEHRAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Akhil Sibal, Sr. Adv. alongwith
Mr. Manish Baila, Mr. Devesh Ratan
and Mr. Ridhie Bajaj, Advocates.

versus
SHARAD MEHRARespondent
Through: Mr. C.M. Lall, Sr. Adv., Mr.
Peeyoosh Kalra, Mr. Ishith Arora, Mr.
Ritwik Sharma and Ms. Annanya
Mehan, Advs.

9
+
CONT.CAS(C) 1993/2024 and CM APPL.74218/2024
SHARAD MEHRAPetitioner
Through: Mr. C.M. Lall, Sr. Adv., Mr.
Peeyoosh Kalra, Mr. Ishith Arora, Mr.
Ritwik Sharma and Ms. Annanya
Mehan, Advs.

versus
SANJAY MEHRARespondent
Through: Mr. Akhil Sibal, Sr. Adv. alongwith
Mr. Manish Baila, Mr. Devesh Ratan
and Mr. Ridhie Bajaj, Advocates.

10
+
CONT.CAS(C) 38/2024
SHARAD MEHRAPetitioner
Through: Mr. C.M. Lall, Sr. Adv., Mr.
Peeyoosh Kalra, Mr. Ishith Arora, Mr.
Ritwik Sharma and Ms. Annanya
Mehan, Advs.

versus
SANJAY MEHRA AND OTHERSRespondents
Through: Mr. Akhil Sibal, Sr. Adv. alongwith



Mr. Manish Baila, Mr. Devesh Ratan
and Mr. Ridhie Bajaj, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

29.05.2026

The matter is taken up today as 28.05.2026 was declared a holiday on account of 'Id-ul-Zuha (Bakrid)'.

CONT.CAS(C) 1476/2023

CONT.CAS(C) 1862/2024

CONT.CAS(C) 1880/2024

CONT.CAS(C) 1958/2024

CONT.CAS(C) 1993/2024

CONT.CAS(C) 38/2024

1. *Vide* order dated 13.05.2026, passed in the present proceedings, it was recorded as under:

1. Mr. C.M. Lall, learned senior counsel appearing for Mr. Sharad Mehra, submits that Mr. Sharad Mehra and related entities have complied with the directions contained in the judgment dated 30.03.2026, read with the order dated 24.04.2026 passed in Rev. Pet.178/2026.

2. He further undertakes that Mr. Sharad Mehra shall continue to comply with the said directions. The said undertaking is taken on record. Mr. Sharad Mehra shall remain bound therewith. In case the said undertaking is not adhered to, the same will entail serious action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

3. Mr. Sanjay Mehra is given one weeks' time to file a compliance affidavit.

4. Mr. Sanjay Mehra shall ensure that the following directions are complied with:



i) The petitioner, Mr. Sanjay Mehra (hereinafter 'SM'), and his group companies shall have separate websites/social media pages without linking with each other.

ii) SM shall remove physical hoarding/s that interlink his group companies; and

ii) SM and group companies shall geo-block its website/social media handles to ensure that usage of the mark SUPERON (whether by itself

or in conjunction with any other word/mark) thereon is not accessible from any location outside India.

5. Learned counsel for Mr. Sanjay Mehra assures that he shall rigorously follow up the matter with LinkedIn Corporation, Google LLC and X Corp. to ensure compliance with the aforesaid directions and to ensure that none of the websites or social medial handles depicting/displaying the mark SUPERON are accessible from outside India.

6. Let a compliance affidavit be filed by Mr. Sanjay Mehra within a period of one week from today.

7. Learned counsel for the Mr. Sanjay Mehra assures that other directions contained in the paragraph 94 of the judgment dated 30.03.2026 read with order dated 24.04.2026 passed in Rev. Pet. 178/2026 shall also be complied with. The compliance affidavit shall also mention the same.

8. List on 28.05.2026.

2. The requisite compliance affidavit dated 21.05.2026 has been filed by Mr. Sanjay Mehra. The same, inter-alia, reads as under:

That I have complied with the terms of paragraph 4 of the order dated 13.05.2026, and in terms thereof:



My companies have separate websites, and there is no interlinking of my group companies on my websites. The respective websites of my companies are as follows:

- a. <https://www.superontechnik.com/> for Superon Technik India Pvt. Ltd., and
- b. <https://superonindustries.com/> for Superon Schweisstechnik Industries Ltd.

Printouts from the said websites are annexed hereto as ANNEXURE-1.

- ii. I have already removed all physical hoardings that interlink my group companies, and photos of the same have been annexed with my previous compliance affidavit dated 27.04.2026.
- iii. I have geo-blocked my websites www.superonindustries.com and www.superontechnik.com so that the same are accessible only within India, and not accessible outside India.
- iv. Since geo-blocking by Meta and LinkedIn for my social media accounts is taking time, therefore, as a *temporary* measure, I have:
 - a. Removed the word SUPERON from the LinkedIn account of Mr. Gaurav Mehra i.e. <https://in.linkedin.com/in/gaurav-mehra-53496059>. Screenshot of the same is filed herewith as ANNEXURE-2.
 - b. Deactivated the LinkedIn page of Superon Technik India Private Limited i.e. <https://in.linkedin.com/company/superon-technik-india-private-limited>. Screenshot of the same is filed herewith as ANNEXURE-3.



- c. Removed the word SUPERON from the LinkedIn page of Superon Schweisstechnik Industries Ltd. i.e. <https://in.linkedin.com/company/superon-schweisstechnik-industries-limited> and renamed the page to SSCHWEISSTECHNIK INDUSTRIES LIMITED. Screenshot of the same is filed herewith as ANNEXURE-4.
- d. Removed the word SUPERON from the Facebook page of Superon Technik India Private Limited i.e. <https://www.facebook.com/people/Superontechnik/61582260144194> and renamed the page to STANVAC INTERNATIONAL. Screenshot of the same is filed herewith as ANNEXURE-5.
- e. Removed the word SUPERON from the Instagram page of Superon Technik India Private Limited i.e. <https://www.instagram.com/superontechnik> and renamed the page to STANVAC INTERNATIONAL. Screenshot of the same is filed herewith as ANNEXURE-6.

I reserve my right to rename and use SUPERON on the aforesaid social media pages once the geo-blocking is enabled by Meta and LinkedIn.

Furthermore, it is submitted that the LinkedIn account in my name i.e. SANJAY MEHRA being <https://in.linkedin.com/in/sanjay-mehra-5448b2138> is not controlled by me and the same seems to be created by some unscrupulous person. Thus, I have reported the said account to LinkedIn for getting the same closed and sent reminder for the same. Screenshots of the request made to LinkedIn and the reminder request made on 20.05.2026, are filed herewith as ANNEXURE-7 (Colly).



5. It is further submitted that the Facebook page of Superon Schweissttechnik Industries Limited, being <https://www.facebook.com/people/Superon-Schweissttechnik-Industries-Limited/61553188117930/> is not controlled by me and the same seems to be created by some unscrupulous person. Thus, I have reported the said page to Meta for closure and also sent reminder for the same. Screenshot of the request made to Meta and the reminder email dated 20.05.2026, are filed herewith as ANNEXURE-8 (Colly).

6. That I have also complied with the terms of paragraph 5 of the order dated 13.05.2026, and in terms thereof, my counsels have rigorously followed up with DOT, Meta and LinkedIn for geo-blocking my social media accounts. Copies of the letters and emails sent to CGSC for DOT, Meta and LinkedIn are annexed hereto as ANNEXURE-9 (Colly), ANNEXURE-10 (Colly) and ANNEXURE-11 (Colly) respectively.

I have also complied with the terms of paragraph 7 of the order dated 13.05.2026 i.e. the directions contained in para 94 of the judgment dated 30.03.2026 in the present case, as modified by the order dated 24.04.2026 in Rev. Pet. 178/2026.

That I shall continue to comply with the order dated 13.05.2026 and judgment dated 30.03.2026 as modified by the order dated 24.04.2026, in the future.



DEPONENT

3. As noticed, paragraphs 4 and 5 of the said affidavit refers to some LinkedIn and Facebook accounts allegedly created by some unscrupulous person, not under the control of Mr. Sanjay Mehra.

4. It also transpires that a complaint to this effect has already been submitted to Meta and LinkedIn.



5. In the circumstances, Meta and LinkedIn are directed to act expeditiously in respect thereof and delete/block the aforesaid accounts.

6. In view of the compliance affidavits filed by the respective parties, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petitions. The same are, accordingly, disposed of.

7. In case the parties have any further grievance with regard to any other violation of the Terms of the Settlement (ToS), they shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedies under law.

REVIEW PET. 268/2026 - Seeking of Review of Judgment date 30-03-2026 in CONT.CAS(C) 1476/2023

8. The present review petition takes exception to the finding/conclusion drawn in Paragraph 77 and 78 of the judgment order dated 30.03.2026 in CONT.CAS(C) 1476/2023 and other connected matters. The review applicant for the same relies upon the Clauses of the ToS.

9. Essentially, the review petitioner seeks a merit based review in respect of the relevant findings. The same is beyond the parameters of the review jurisdiction.

10. The Review petition is accordingly disposed of.

11. Needless to say, the review applicant would be at liberty to avail legal remedies, if aggrieved by any finding/s rendered in the judgment dated 30.03.2026.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MAY 29, 2026/at/sv