



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12978/2023**

SI GD (M) MEENA CHHIKARA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ajit Kakkar & Mr. Tejas Bhonge,
Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Premtosh K. Mishra, CGSC with
Mr. Shrey Sharma and Mr. Prarabdh
Tiwari, Advs. for R-1/UOI
Mr. Vinod Sawant, Legal Officer and
Insp. Athurv and Mr. Ramniwas
Yadav, CRPF

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **09.01.2026**

1. This petition has been filed with the following prayers:

- (a) *“To issue a Writ in the nature of Certiorari to set aside the Order dated 25.05.2022.*
- (b) *To issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus to regularize the leaves of the Petitioner and to credit the salary/pay/amount duly accruing to her after the said regularization.*
- (c) *To issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus to pay back the amount (if any) arbitrarily recovered from the Petitioner.*
- (d) *To pass any such other or grant relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem right and appropriate in the present Petition.”*

2. In effect, the petitioners are challenging the order dated 25.02.2022 passed by the respondents whereby the respondents have rejected the claim of the petitioner for salary during the period of 30.05.2020 to 19.07.2020.



3. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents. The learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the notification issued by the Government of Haryana to show that the village and the road leading to the *gali* where the petitioner was residing was declared as a containment zone, for different periods, because of which she could not join her duties. As we note the respondents have not considered the notification(s) issued by the Government of Haryana to form an opinion on the aspect of release of salary, we are of the view that the petitioner shall submit a fresh representation along with the relevant documents to the respondents within two weeks from today for the consideration of respondents who, by giving an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, decide the representation within four weeks from the receipt of the copy of the representation.
4. The decision shall be conveyed to the petitioner and if any follow up action need to be taken, the same shall be taken within further four weeks thereafter.
5. It goes without saying that if the petitioner is aggrieved by the order, to be passed by the respondents, liberty shall be with the petitioner to file an application for revival of this writ petition.
6. The writ petition is disposed of.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

JANUARY 9, 2026/msh