



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8892/2025**

NAVENDU AGARWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vivek Kumar Tandon, Ms. Yukti
Bhardwaj Ms. Laxmi Gupta and Ms.
Pooja Giri, Advs.

versus

STATE & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **29.01.2026**

1. A perusal of the impugned order would indicate that the case of the petitioner has been considered within the definition of clause 2(b) of the Delhi Victim Compensation Scheme, 2018 [**'Scheme of 2018'**].
2. It is rightly pointed out by the petitioner that he would fall within the definition of 'victim' and his case has not been considered as per the definition of 'victim' as prescribed in Section 2(k) of the Scheme of 2018.
3. In view thereof, the case of the petitioner deserves to be reconsidered by the Delhi Legal Service Committee (South West), New Delhi.
4. Let a fresh order be passed without any undue delay, in any case, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of the order passed today.
5. The impugned order is set aside. All rights and contentions are left



open.

6. Petition stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J
JANUARY 29, 2026/P/AMG