



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5214/2022**

SUNNY AGGARWAL & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Arjun Malik, Adv. with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT. OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for the
State with Ms. Upasna Bakshi and
Mr.Arvind Aggarwal, Advs. with SI
Rajesh Kumar, PS.: Palam Village.
Mr. Ish Preet Singh, Adv. for
complainant with complainant in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

18.03.2026

CRL.M.A. 8159/2026 *(for additional documents)*

1. By virtue of the present application, the petitioner no.1 seeks to bring on record additional document(s), being bank account statement of the petitioner no.1 evidencing the successful realization of the settlement amount.
2. For the reasons stated in the present application, as also the nature thereof, notice is dispensed with and the same is allowed. As such, the additional documents are taken on record.
3. Accordingly, the present application is disposed of.



CRL.M.C. 5214/2022

4. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 482 of the* Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (***Cr.P.C.***), the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR No.65/2017 dated 10.02.2017 registered at PS.: Palam Village under *Sections 498A/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Memorandum of Understanding (***MOU***) dated 30.03.2021 [***Annexure D***] arrived at between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identities.

5. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice, and submits, that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

6. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid MOU, and submits that the petitioner no.1 has already paid her the total settlement amount of Rs.5,80,000/- as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims. She further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1995, and she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

7. The petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

8. Facts disclose that the parties have voluntarily arrived at a settlement, and thus have filed their respective affidavit(s) along with the present petition. As such, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. Thus, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58, Gian Singh vs. State***



of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303 and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466*, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

9. Resultantly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.65/2017 dated 10.02.2017 registered at PS.: Palam Village under *Sections 498A/34* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 18, 2026/bh