



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 6954/2023**
VINOD SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sitab Ali Chaudhary, Mr. Gufran Ali, Mr. Sadik and Mr. Wahab Ali, Advocates.

versus

STATE GNCT OF DELHI & ANR. & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh, APP for the State with SI K.P. Singh, P.S. New Ashok Nagar.
Mr. Rupesh Kumar, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
16.01.2026

%

1. The petitioner seeks cancellation of bail which was granted to respondent No.2 on 25.03.2023.
2. However, after hearing some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press the present application, primarily, for the reason that the bail in question had been granted, virtually, three years back.
3. He, however, submits that let there be a direction to respondent No.2 to punctually participate in the ongoing trial and not to take unnecessary adjournment.
4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 who has joined the proceedings through *video conferencing* assures about the same.

CRL.M.C. 6954/2023

1



5. In view of the above, the application is disposed of as not pressed.
6. Needless to say, respondent No.2 shall abide by the bail condition(s), if any, and would also appear, punctually and scrupulously, before the learned Trial Court on the specified dates and would not seek unnecessary adjournment.
7. Learned Trial Court is also requested to make best endeavour to dispose of the matter expeditiously.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JANUARY 16, 2026/ss/pb