



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 28/2025**
RAVI AGGARWALAppellant

Through: Mr. Naveen Malhotra and Mr.
Ritvik Malhotra, Advocates.

versus

DEPUTY DIRECTOR
ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATERespondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, Standing
Counsel with Mr. Priyansh Raj
Singh, Ms. Priya Rai and Mr.
Karan Grover, Advocates.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

% **04.05.2026**

CM APPL. 28171/2026 (for directions)

1. This application has been filed by the appellant seeking directions to the respondent to release the attached property in view of the fact that the attachment order has been set aside by this Court *vide* its judgment dated 23.01.2026.
2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submits that the judgment of this Court was passed on the basis of an earlier judgment of this Court in ***Anirudh Pratap Agarwal vs. Enforcement of Directorate*** [Neutral Citation No. 2025:DHC:8652-DB] and the respondent has challenged the said judgment before the Supreme Court by way of a Special Leave Petition ["SLP"], which is



likely to be listed soon.

3. We are of the opinion that mere filing of a SLP cannot be a ground not to give effect to our judgment. Accordingly, we direct the respondent to release the attached property of the appellant within a period of three weeks. This, of course, shall be subject to any order that may be passed by the Supreme Court on the SLP filed by the respondent.

4. The application stands disposed of.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

MAY 4, 2026/vd/sk/as