



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2026/2025**

RAJESH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gajraj Singh and Ms. Sakshi Sachdeva, Advocates with wife of the applicant.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for the State with SI Meena Malik, PS Maurya Enclave.
Complainant through V.C.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **21.04.2026**

1. Applicant seeks regular bail in case arising out of FIR No.579/2024 dated 27.10.2024, registered at P.S. Maurya Enclave, for commission of offences under Sections 64(1)/69/318(4)/319(2) of *Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS)*, 2023).

2. As per the broad allegations, the applicant, who was posted as Head Constable in Delhi Police, falsely represented himself as an IPS working in CBI and RAW and put his profile on *Jeevansathi.com* while representing himself, as above. He was already married when he had put his abovesaid profile on *Jeevansathi.com* and believing his profile to be true, the prosecutrix came in his contact. The applicant made false promise to her that he would marry her and on such false representation and inducement, there was physical relation between them. The promise was false since inception as he



was already married and was having children also from such marriage. It was in the abovesaid backdrop that FIR was registered against him.

3. The learned Trial Court declined bail *plea* of the applicant *vide* order dated 25.04.2025, primarily, for the reason that he is a serving Delhi Police Official and chances of his threatening the prosecutrix and her family cannot be ruled out.

4. According to learned counsel for the applicant, the applicant is a Government employee and a first time offender. He has no past antecedents and is in judicial custody since 28.10.2024. He submits that even as per the allegations, the applicant had established physical relation with the prosecutrix from June 2024 to September, 2024 but there is no explanation, much less a plausible one, as to why there is a delay in getting the FIR registered. He submits that there was never any false representation or inducement and during the meetings, the complainant came to know about the marital status of the applicant and despite being fully aware about the same, she maintained such relationship.

5. As per the allegations appearing in the FIR, the prosecutrix had transferred a sum of Rs.3,15,000/- to the applicant. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant had issued two cheques towards return of the abovesaid amount but when the cheques returned dishonoured and the applicant refused to continue with the abovesaid relationship, he has been implicated in the present matter on the pretext that physical relationship was based on false promise of marriage.

6. Prosecutrix has joined the proceedings through *video-conferencing* and has opposed the bail application. She submits that the averments made in the bail application are absolutely false and, at no point of time, before the



physical relationship with accused, she came to know about his marital status.

7. The wife of the applicant is also present in Court.

8. There are 24 cited witnesses and prosecution has so far been able to examine 8 witnesses, including the prosecutrix.

9. There is no likelihood of trial getting completed in near future as many other witnesses are yet to be examined. The applicant has deep roots in the society and there is, apparently, no likelihood of his fleeing from justice and absconding, if released on bail. More importantly, the prosecutrix has already been examined and there is no chance of his threatening or influencing her. Undoubtedly, in terms of one previous order, a copy of profile of the applicant as uploaded on *Jeevansathi.com* was placed on record and the testimony of concerned official of *Jeevansathi.com* has also been recorded wherein he claimed that there was exchange of chats between the applicant and the other users also. Fact, however, remains that, as on date, there is no other complaint from any other person who might have also been cheated in the same way, at the hands of the applicant.

10. Undoubtedly, the *modus operandi* adopted by the applicant is of a severe magnitude but at the same time, since the trial is going to take some more time, no useful purpose would be achieved by keeping him behind the bars.

11. Keeping in mind the overall facts of the case, *albeit*, without expressing any opinion over the merits of the case, the applicant is, hereby, directed to be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond in a sum of Rs. 25,000/- with two *local* sureties of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate with following conditions:-

(i) The applicant would provide his Mobile Number to the concerned



I.O and would ensure that such Mobile Number remains active and operational, till the disposal of the case by the learned Trial Court.

(ii) The applicant would not try to come in contact of the prosecutrix and her family members, in any manner, whatsoever, directly or indirectly.

(iii) He would not come in the vicinity of Pitampura, i.e. within radius of 2 kms of the house of prosecutrix.

(iv) He would appear before the learned Trial Court on each and every date of hearing, unless he is exempted from personal appearance by the learned Trial Court and would not seek any unnecessary adjournment.

(vi) He would not create any further profile on any such website either in his own name or while assuming any fictitious identity.

(vii) In case, there is any violation of any of the abovesaid conditions, the prosecution as well as the prosecutrix would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

12. The application stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

13. A copy of this order be immediately sent to the learned Trial Court and Jail Superintendent for information and necessary compliance.

MANOJ JAIN, J

APRIL 21, 2026/st/sa