



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1757/2007**

RENU NANDA

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

UOI & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shlok Chandra, SSC, Ms. Naincy Jain, JSC, Ms. Madhavi Shukla, JSC & Mr. Udit Dad, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER

14.01.2026

%

1. The instant writ petition has been preferred for the following reliefs:

“i) Issue an appropriate writ, order or directions in the nature of certiorari for calling of all the records of the Petitioner in which there are reasons to believe for satisfaction under section 132 of the Act for examination by this Hon'ble Court and thereafter the proceedings under section 132 of the Income Tax Act may be quashed by a suitable writ, order or directions of this Hon'ble Court.

ii) Issue an appropriate writ, order or directions in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents to issue directions thereby allowing the Petitioners to operate their bank accounts/FDRs & lockers etc. as contained in para 5 above.

iii) Issue an appropriate writ, order or directions in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents to not to harass the Petitioner and return the seized articles.”

2. On perusal of the record, we find that no interim order has been



passed in the matter and, in absence of any interim order, impugned proceedings would naturally have come to a logical end; 18 years after the search having taken place, no relief can be granted to the petitioner.

3. In view of the aforesaid, the present writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

4. A copy of this order be sent to the petitioner by way of Registered Post Acknowledgment Due.

5. It shall be open for the petitioner to apply for revival of the case, if deemed appropriate and necessary.

DINESH MEHTA, J

VINOD KUMAR, J

JANUARY 14, 2026/sr