



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6886/2025 and CM APPL. 31191/2025**

NEVCO ENGINEERS PRIVATE LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr Ramesh Singh, Senior advocate
with Ms. Kritika Gupta, Adv.

versus

**CENTRAL POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
& ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Balendu Shekhar, Mr Krishna
Chaitanya, Mr Divyansh Singh Dev,
Adv for CPCB.
Mr. Rajesh Gogna, Mr Shivam
Tiwari, Ms Rebina, Ms Priya, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

19.01.2026

1. Mr. Ramesh Singh, learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent no.2 in its reply, and more particularly, in paragraph 12 of the same, has indicated that the request of the petitioner shall be considered appropriately by the Central Pollution Control Board ('CPCB'). Paragraph no. 12 of the reply filed by respondent no.2 is extracted as under:

"12. That it is reiterated that Respondent No. 2 has neither refused nor declined to consider other pollutants such as Ammonia and Benzene. Rather, it had no instructions or directions to proceed further in that regard. Without specific directives from Respondent No. 1, Respondent No. 3, or the Hon'ble Court, Respondent No. 2 cannot, suo motu, undertake a supplementary technical review. The Respondent No. 2 expressly denies all allegations, contentions, and insinuations made against it in the present Writ Petition and submits that no cause of action



or liability, either in fact or in law, arises against it in any manner whatsoever.”

2. If that be so, the CPCB can consider the request of the petitioner appropriately and take it to its logical conclusion with due expedition.
3. The CPCB, therefore, is granted liberty to undertake the necessary exercise and take the final decision, within a period of six months.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

JANUARY 19, 2026

aks/ksr