



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12429/2022**

JHARANA NAYAK

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Pallavi Awasthi and Ms.
Vaibhavi Mittal, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Bakshi Vinita, SPC for UoI.
Mr Vinod Sawant Law Officer, Insp.
Athurv and Mr. Ramniwas Yadav
CRPF

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

%

06.02.2026

CM APPL. 8106/2026 (For marking appearance)

1. This is an application filed by Ms. Bakshi Vinita, learned counsel for the respondents along with her affidavit, stating that she had appeared in the proceedings held on 20.08.2025 and 03.02.2025.
2. The stand of Ms. Vinita is taken on record.
3. The application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 12429/2022

4. This petition has been filed with the following prayers:-

“i. Direct the respondent to release all the retirement dues/benefits of the Petitioner for rendering her service including Gratuity, Provident



Fund, Leave encashment, risk fund, commutation etc.;
alongwith an interest @18% p.a. and

ii. Direct the respondent to grant pensionary benefits to the petitioner as per CCS Pension Rules after condoning the deficiency (if any) of reckoned service of pension; and/or

iii. Pass any other and further order (s) and direction (s) as this Court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice and equity.”

5. We have been informed by the counsel for the petitioner that, during the pendency of the petition, Rs.3,27,499/- as GPF and Rs.25,248/- as Risk Fund have been paid. The counsel for the petitioner has sought other reliefs such as payment of gratuity and leave encashment.

6. The fact is that the petitioner having resigned from the service, the past service gets forfeited and the petitioner shall not be entitled to gratuity/leave encashment.

7. The only submission now made by the counsel for the petitioner is that, against the entitlement in the year of 2012, the respondents have paid the GPF and Risk Fund only in the year 2022 and as such, the petitioner is entitled to interest thereon.

8. Appropriate be, that the respondents shall consider the plea of the petitioner of interest on the aforesaid two amounts and decide the same keeping in view the plea of the petitioner that there was a delay of almost ten years in releasing the GPF and Risk Fund. The same shall be decided within a period of six weeks and the decision shall be conveyed to the petitioner. If the interest is awarded, the same shall be paid within a period of three weeks of the decision.



9. If the petitioner is aggrieved by any order to be passed by the respondents, liberty is with the petitioner to seek such remedy as available in law.

10. The petition is disposed of.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

FEBRUARY 6, 2026

rk