$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010287812026**

\+ CONT.CAS(C) 1152/2026

KAMLESH SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aaditya Vijaykumar, Ms. Akshita Katoch, Mr. Anirudh Anand, Advocates.

versus

OMKAR

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

O R D E R

% 28.10.2026

1. A Contempt Petition under Section 10 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act has been filed for disobedience of the Order dated 26.11.2025 in Execution Petition No.57/2023.

2. In the said Order it was specifically provided that the Possession of Portion A and Portion B be handed over to the two parties by the Local Commissioner who had already taken the Possession of the respective portions.

3. According to the Petitioner, the Possession of the respective portions have been received by the Petitioner and the Respondent. It is asserted that now the Petitioner seeks to raise a boundary wall in which a hindrance has been created by the Respondent.

4. It is further submitted that there was no objection to the division of

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 31/10/2026 at 11:28:16

the property in terms of the Site Plan filed by the Local Commissioner.

5. The only grievance of the Petitioner now is that they are trying to raise a boundary wall which is being prevented by the Respondent. There is no such direction in the impugned Order dated 26.11.2025 that the Petitioner shall have an absolute right of raising a boundary wall or that the Respondent would not create any hindrance.

6. In case there is any hindrance being created by the Respondent, the Petitioner is at liberty to file an appropriate Civil Suit for the needful in respect of acts which are subsequent to the getting of possession of the subject property.

7. It is further stated that the Respondents have also not co-operating in effecting the mutation of the property.

8. On a specific query it is stated that no Notice till date has been given by the Petitioners. They are at liberty to give a Notice to the Respondents for the mutation of the property and if the Respondents are not forthcoming, they are at liberty to pursue the remedy including that of Contempt in accordance with law.

9. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

OCTOBER 28, 2026/va

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 31/10/2026 at 11:28:16