



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 6996/2024**
VASUDHA BHARDWAJPetitioner

Through: Mr. Vinit Trehan, Ms. Urvi Syal, Ms. Bhumi Agarwal and Mr. Yash Srivastava, Advocates.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain, ASC with Ms. Jyoti Tyagi and Mr. Sachin Garg, Advocates for R-1.
Mr. Manoj Kumar Garg, Mr. Kundan Kr. Roy and Mr. Shivam Garg, Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER
30.03.2026

%

1. As per the counter affidavit filed by Respondent No. 1, the Petitioner's request for processing her claim for switch from New Pension Scheme¹ to Old Pension Scheme² could not be processed on account of non-availability of requisite documents. In this regard, reliance is placed on Paragraph No. 8 of the counter affidavit, which reads as under:

"8. That however, the school vide its mail dated 10.07.2025 informed the DOE that a mail was sent to the Petitioner to provide the copies of SSC and MC meeting proceedings or issue letter to process her claim of switch from NPS to OPS, but the relevant documents weren't provided on the pretext that the same were

¹ "NPS"

² "OPS"



never supplied to her. Despite multiple follow-ups by the DoE, the documents were not provided by the school authorities.

Copy of the mail dated 10.07.2025 is annexed herewith as Annexure R1/1."

2. The aforementioned SSC and MC refer to the Staff Selection Committee and the Managing Committee. The said documents are being insisted upon by Respondent No. 1 to substantiate and verify the Petitioner's appointment. However, such records, being in the nature of minutes, are ordinarily maintained by the Respondent School and cannot be expected to be in the possession of the Petitioner.
3. In view of the stand taken in the counter affidavit, there does not appear to be any substantial controversy requiring adjudication in the present petition. The impediment in processing the Petitioner's claim is essentially on account of non-furnishing of documents by the Respondent School authorities.
4. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Respondent School to furnish all requisite documents, as sought by Respondent No. 1, for the purpose of processing the Petitioner's claim. In the event that the minutes of the SSC and MC are not available, the School shall furnish alternative records to establish and confirm the date of the Petitioner's appointment.
5. The aforesaid exercise be completed within a period of two weeks from today. Upon receipt of the documents, Respondent No. 1 shall process the Petitioner's request for switch from NPS to OPS within a further period of four weeks thereafter.
6. In view of the above, Mr. Vinit Trehan, counsel for the Petitioner,



submits that no further directions are required to be passed.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

MARCH 30, 2026

as