



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 733/2025**

NARAYAN SINGH AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Karan Singh, Adv. (through v/c)
versus

POOJA BHASKAR

.....Respondent

Through: Respondent in person (through v/c)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

27.01.2026

%

1. The present petition alleges wilful disobedience/ non-compliance of the order dated 11.10.2023 passed in MAT.APP.(F.C.) 34/2023. The operative directions therein are as under:

“24. We, therefore, find no merit in the present Appeal and direct that the custody of the children be handed over to the respondent No.1/mother in the Children’s Room at Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in the presence of the Counsellor on 21.10.2023. In the interim, the appellant Nos.1 and 2 are directed to prepare both the children for transfer of their custody to the respondent No.1/mother. The visitation rights as agreed by the parties in the Court shall be as under:

(i) That the children be handed over to the appellant Nos.1 and 2 on first and third Saturdays at 11.00 A.M. who, thereafter, shall return the custody of the children to the respondent No.1/mother on the next day i.e., Sunday at 04.00 P.M. at the residence of the respondent No.1/mother.

(ii) That the respondent No.1/mother shall permit the two children to interact with the appellant Nos.1 and 2 every day on vide call depending upon the time the children are willing and available to interact with them over vide call.

(iii) That the respondent No.1/mother shall share the regular progress of the two children in the school with the appellant Nos.1 and 2 and shall also provide them with the copy of the Report



Cards as and when it is received by her.

(iv) That the respondent No.1/mother shall also inform the appellant Nos.1 and 2 in case, the children are indisposed.”

2. The respondent, who appears in person, submits that visitation is being duly afforded to the petitioner in terms of the directions contained in the aforesaid order (of which contempt is alleged in the present proceedings). This assertion is, however, strongly refuted on behalf of the petitioners.
3. In response to a query, the respondent concedes that visitation did not take place on the first Saturday of this month i.e. 03.01.2026. However, it was facilitated on the third Saturday i.e. 17.01.2026.
4. The respondent, who appears virtually, assures that visitation shall be continuously afforded to the petitioner, without fail, in terms of the subsisting directions.
5. The said statement is taken on record.
6. It also transpires that the petitioner no.2 is presently in judicial custody. As such, in terms of the order dated 11.10.2023, passed in MAT.APP.(F.C.) 34/2023, visitation is to be afforded only to the petitioner no.1 herein, that is the grand-father of the concerned child. Accordingly, the respondent shall hand over the child directly to the petitioner no.1 and not to any third party (including the uncle / chacha of the child).
7. The respondent further submits that daily video-calls are being facilitated in terms of the directions in the aforesaid order dated 11.10.2023, except for occasional exceptions arising from unforeseen circumstances.
8. The respondent assures and undertakes that such video-calls shall be ensured without fail on a daily basis.



9. The said statement is also taken on record.
10. In view thereof, no further orders are required to be passed in the present proceedings.
11. The same is accordingly, disposed of.
12. In the event of any lapse, the petitioner shall be at liberty to revive the present proceedings or file a fresh.
13. The respondent has been cautioned that in the event of breach / infraction of the directions contained in the order dated 11.10.2023, the same may entail action for committing willful disobedience / contempt of directions issued by this Court.

SACHIN DATTA, J

JANUARY 27, 2026/cl