



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8443/2026

**PAARDARSHITA PUBLIC WELFARE FOUNDATION
(NGO)**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Harkrishan Das
Nijhawan/Petitioner-in-Person.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ORSRespondents

Through: Ms. Prabhsahay Kaur, Standing
Counsel along with Mr. Aditya
Verma & Mr. Nishant Anshul,
Advocates for Respondent No.1-
DDA/STF.

Ms. Manisha Agrawal Narain, CGSC
along with Mr. Divanshu & Mr.
Harsh Vashisht, Advocates for
Respondent No.3.

Mr. Zorawar Singh, Advocate for
Respondent No.4 (through VC).

Mr. Ketan Marwah, Advocate for
Respondent No.7 (through VC).

Mr. Shashank Vachher, Advocate for
Respondent Nos. 4 to 15 (through
VC).

Mr. Arjit Sharma, Advocate for
Respondent No.5.

Mr. Siddharth Arora, Ms. Jasleen
Kaur, Mr. Gaurav Kumar & Ms.
Yashika, Advocates for Respondent
Nos.10 & 12.

Mr. Priyansh Jain, Advocate for
Respondent No.11.



CORAM:
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER
01.07.2026

%

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Parties.
2. This Writ Petition has been filed in respect of certain illegal constructions concerning 12 properties, description of which has been mentioned in Paragraph No. 19 of the present Writ Petition.
3. Earlier, the Petitioner has instituted W.P.(C) 1557/2026 concerning alleged illegal constructions in the properties which are the same as mentioned in the present Writ Petition. The earlier writ petition was finally disposed of by this Court *vide* order dated 04.02.2026 with an observation that in case the Petitioner approaches the Special Task Force (“STF”) by filing individual complaints against the specific properties in terms of an earlier order dated 21.11.2024 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) 16115/2024, the STF will take appropriate action in terms of the statement made as recorded in the order dated 04.02.2026.
4. The submissions of the Petitioner, who appears in person is that in pursuance of order dated 04.02.2026, the Petitioner has instituted individual complaints, however, the said complaints have not been attended to.
5. We have already, while disposing of the W.P.(C) 1557/2026 directed that in case the Petitioner moves individual complaints, the same shall be attended to.
6. Accordingly, for the same cause of action, the second Writ Petition, in our opinion, cannot be entertained.

W.P.(C) 8443/2026

Page 2 of 3



7. If the Petitioner is, in any manner, aggrieved, he has other remedies available on account of non-compliance of the order dated 04.02.2026.
8. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TEJAS KARIA, J

JULY 1, 2026/ 'A'