



\$~21

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

% *Date of Decision: 01st July, 2026*

+ CRL.M.C. 4447/2026 & CRL.M.A. 18309/2026
NAVEEN KUMAR ALIAS NAVEEN PARMAR & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Naman Sharma, Ms. Heena
Sharma, Advocates alongwith
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE, G.N.C.T.D., THROUGH SHO, P.S. KESHAV PURAM &
ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for the
State with SI Anita and SI Arvind.
Mr. Anuj Malik, Advocate for R-2
alongwith Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of FIR No. 826/2021 dated 22.12.2021, registered at Police Station Keshav Puram, for commission of offences under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. The marriage between complainant (respondent No.2 herein) and petitioner No.1 was solemnized on 25.02.2020, as per Hindu rites and customs. No child was born from the abovesaid wedlock.
3. However, on account of temperamental differences, the parties started residing separately w.e.f 28.11.2020 and, when a complaint was lodged by



respondent No.2, it resulted into registration of the abovesaid FIR.

4. As per the allegations made in the FIR, the complainant i.e. respondent No.2, also claimed that her father-in-law had touched her inappropriately, thereby outraging her modesty.

5. Charge-sheet has, though, been filed, the charges have yet not been ascertained.

6. However, when the matter was referred to mediation, the parties were able to amicably resolve the matter under the *aegis* of *Delhi Mediation Centre, Rohini Courts, Delhi* on 10.11.2025.

7. It is in the abovesaid backdrop that quashing is being sought.

8. Respondent no. 2 is present in person and she has been duly identified by her counsel as well as by Investigating Officer.

9. When asked, respondent No. 2 reiterates the terms of abovesaid settlement. She submits that there is already a divorce between them by way of mutual consent on 27.02.2026. She states that she has agreed to accept a total sum of Rs. 4,65,000/- as full and final settlement in lieu of *istridhan*, alimony, dowry articles, maintenance for self (past, present and future). She submits that she has already received Rs. 2,50,000/- and the balance amount of Rs.2,15,000/- has been received today in the shape of Demand Draft drawn on DBS Bank India Limited. She states that she has entered into the abovesaid settlement out of her own free will, without any coercion and influence from any corner whatsoever and therefore, she would have '*no objection*' if FIR in question is quashed.

10. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose, especially, when the dispute does not involve any public interest and is, primarily, private in



nature. In any case, even the complainant does not wish to press any charges against the petitioners.

11. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of *Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*, it is deemed appropriate to quash the instant FIR.

12. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No. 826/2021 dated 22.12.2021, registered at Police Station Keshav Puram, for commission of offences under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is, hereby, quashed.

13. Original affidavits of the parties, copies of which have been placed on record in the present proceedings, shall be submitted before the learned Trial Court within two weeks, so that these become part of Trial Court Record.

14. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

15. Pending application also stands disposed of.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

JULY 1, 2026/ss/pb