



2026:DHC:5285-DB



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8540/2026 & CM APPL. 39649/2026**

DR. VISHAL BAPUSAHEB DESAIPetitioner

Through: Mr. T. R. Mohanty and Mr. T.
S. Taggar, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. R.V. Sinha, Mr. A.S. Singh
and Ms. Shriya Sharma, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER (ORAL)

% **01.07.2026**

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

1. After some hearing, Mr. Mohanty, learned Counsel for the petitioner, seeks leave to withdraw this writ petition but prays that the issue regarding the correctness of the view adopted by the Tribunal regarding Section 20 of the Administrative Tribunal Act 1985¹ may be left open.

2. Accordingly, leaving the question of Section 20 of the AT Act open for consideration in an appropriate matter, this writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

VINOD KUMAR, J.

JULY 1, 2026/dsn

¹ "the AT Act" hereinafter