



\$~78 & 79

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C)1064/2026

NAUMAN COLLEGE OF ELEMENTARY TEACHER
EDUCATION

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi Kant
and Mr. Vineet Upadhyay, Advs.

versus

PROFESSOR PANKAJ ARORA, CHAIRPERSON, NATIONAL
COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Shivom Sethi
and Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, Advs.

(79)

+ CONT.CAS(C)1065/2026

SANA COLLEGE OF ELEMENTARY TEACHER EDUCATION

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi Kant
and Mr. Vineet Upadhyay, Advs.

versus

MS. SUKHGEET KAUR (IOFS), MEMBER SECRETARY,
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Shivom Sethi
and Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER

%

24.06.2026

1. The present petitions have been filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for alleged wilful disobedience and non-compliance of the orders dated



17.06.2026 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) No. 8241/2025 and W.P.(C) No. 8242/2025.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents, on advance notice, submits that a restoration order has already been passed.

3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that though the restoration order has been passed, the same pertains to the Academic Session 2025-26 and not to the Academic Session 2026-27.

4. The learned counsel for respondent submits that the matter was placed before SRC today and necessary corrections have already been made and steps have been taken.

5. In view of the above, the statement of respondent is taken on record. As such, restoration order in respect of the Petitioner, specifically permitting it to participate in the counselling process from the Academic Session 2026-27 instead of 2025-26 be issued. The said order shall also be communicated to the concerned University and be uploaded on the respondent's website.

6. Let the needful be done at the earliest.

7. The petition is disposed of in the above terms with liberty to the Petitioners to seek revival of the present petition in case the aforesaid directions are not complied with.

8. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

9. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

VINOD KUMAR, J
(VACATION JUDGE)

JUNE 24, 2026/cd