



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 4425/2026 CRL.M.A. 18225/2026, CRL. M.A 18208/2026
VENUGOPAL N DHOOTPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rajneesh Sharma, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHIRespondent
Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, learned APP for
the State along with SI Praveen, PS
EOW.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER
24.06.2026

%

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner-accused is extremely ill and that the Learned Magistrate is insisting upon the personal appearance of the petitioner for the purpose of framing of charge.
2. Mr. Mukesh Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor has strongly countered the submissions by submitting that the applicant is evading appearance before the Trial Court due to which this Court could not even frame the charge despite lapse of several years.
3. It is further submitted by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State that the petitioner was given opportunity to join the proceedings via video conferencing as well as to be represented before the court through counsel for framing of charge. It is argued that the charge has not been framed for many years and that the accused is making every efforts to avoid



appearance before the Trial Court.

4. In view of the submissions made by learned Additional Public Prosecutor, learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that the petitioner will appear through video conferencing on the date fixed before the Trial Court i.e. 27.06.2026 and will continue appearing through video conferencing until further orders of the Trial Court. It is further undertakes that he will fully participate in the proceedings of the Trial Court including the framing of charge.

5. In view of the undertaking of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the execution of Non-Bailable Warrants issued against the petitioner are hereby stayed. In case the petitioner does not fulfill his undertakings and continues to avoid appearance before the Trial Court, the Trial Court shall be within its right to issue coercive process to ensure his appearance before the Trial Court.

6. If the petitioner appears before the Trial Court through video conferencing, he shall be at liberty to move an application for restoration of bail bond and surety bond, which will be considered by the Trial Court in accordance with law.

7. The petition is disposed of along with pending applications.

8. Copy of order be given dasti.

9. A copy of the order be conveyed to Trial Court.

**VINOD KUMAR
(VACATION JUDGE)**

JUNE 24, 2026/dd