



\$~29, 30, 32, 33 &34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8335/2026 & CM APPL. 39228/2026

PITAMBARA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar and Ms. Priti Kumari, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Shivom Sethi and Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, Advs.

(30)

+ W.P.(C) 8340/2026 & CM APPL. 39238/2026

BRIGHT INDIA MAHILA TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGE & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar and Ms. Priti Kumari, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Shivom Sethi and Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, Advs.

(32)

+ W.P.(C) 8344/2026 & CM APPL. 39246/2026

SWAMI VIVEKANAND MAHAVIDYALAYA & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar and Ms. Priti Kumari, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents



Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Shivom Sethi
and Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, Advs.

(33)

+ W.P.(C) 8346/2026 & CM APPL. 39248/2026
THAKUR FERAN SINGH EDUCATION COLLEGE & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar and Ms. Priti
Kumari, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Shivom Sethi
and Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, Advs.(34)

(34)

+ W.P.(C) 8347/2026 & CM APPL. 39249/2026
MAHAKOSHAL COLLEGE OF SCIENCE & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar and Ms. Priti
Kumari, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Shivom Sethi
and Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER

%

24.06.2026

1. A batch of Civil Writ Petitions under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing and setting aside of their respective impugned Refusal Orders passed by the concerned Regional Committee and for directions to the respondents to conduct inspection of the petitioners' institutes and decide their applications for recognition for conversion/transition of the existing four-year integrated



B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. courses to the ITEP course from the present academic session 2026–27.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents objects to the reliefs sought in the present petition. However, on a query being posed, he fairly submits that the objections raised by him were considered in W.P. (C) 4860/2026 and connected matters, and vide common order dated 29.05.2026, the petitions were allowed.

3. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the impugned Refusal Orders issued by the concerned Regional Committee on diverse dates are set aside. The respondents shall conduct inspection of the petitioners' institutes within a period of three weeks from today and shall thereafter take all appropriate and necessary steps to ensure that the guidelines laid down by the Supreme Court in **Maa Vaishno Devi Mahila Mahavidyalaya v. State of U.P., (2013) 2 SCC 617**, are scrupulously complied with.

4. The entire exercise, including the issuance of show cause notices pointing out the deficiencies, furnishing of replies thereto, adjudication of such show cause notices, and all ancillary activities connected therewith or flowing therefrom, shall be completed on or before 31.07.2026 for the forthcoming Academic Session 2026–2027.

5. Let a copy of the inspection report be also supplied to the petitioners.

6. The present petitions, along with the pending application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

VINOD KUMAR, J
(VACATION JUDGE)

JUNE 24, 2026/cd