



\$~30, 31, 34, 35, 37 to 40 & 42 to 49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8075/2026, CM APPL. 38616/2026

GYAN SAGAR COLLEGE OF EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti Kumari and Mr. Pankaj Ray, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom Sethi, Advs.

31

+ W.P.(C) 8076/2026, CM APPL. 38617/2026

**ACHARYA RAJENDRA SURI SHIKSHA
MAHAVIDHYALAYA & ANR.**

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti Kumari and Mr. Pankaj Ray, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom Sethi, Advs.

34

+ W.P.(C) 8080/2026, CM APPL. 38623/2026-Stay

DHATTARWAL COLLEGE OF B A B ED B SC B ED & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom Sethi, Advs.



35

+

W.P.(C) 8081/2026, CM APPL. 38624/2026-Stay

NIRMALA DEVI DEGREE COLLEGE & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom Sethi, Adv.

37

+

W.P.(C) 8083/2026, CM APPL. 38628/2026-Stay

CM APPL. 38629/2026-Exp.

MAHATMA GANDHI GROUP OF INSTITUTION

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, Mr. Rishabh Kumar, Ms. Ritu Kumari, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR.

....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom Sethi, Adv.

38

+

W.P.(C) 8084/2026, CM APPL. 38630/2026-Stay

CM APPL. 38631/2026-Exp.

GEETA CO EDUCATION T.T. COLLEGEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, Mr. Rishabh Kumar, Ms. Ritu Kumari, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.



-Respondents
Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom Sethi, Advs.
- 39
+ W.P.(C) 8085/2026, CM APPL. 38632/2026-Stay
CM APPL. 38633/2026-Exp.
SHRI SAI BABA AADARSH MAHAVIDYALAYAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, Mr. Rishabh Kumar, Ms. Ritu Kumari, Advs.
- versus
- NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom Sethi, Advs.
- 40
+ W.P.(C) 8086/2026, CM APPL. 38634/2026-Stay
CM APPL. 38635/2026-Exp.
RNT T.T COLLEGEPetitioner
Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, Mr. Rishabh Kumar, Ms. Ritu Kumari, Advs.
- versus
- NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom Sethi, Advs.
- 42
+ W.P.(C) 8088/2026, CM APPL. 38636/2026-Stay
LATE KUMARI LAXMI BADHALA GIRLS PG COLLEGEPetitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi Kant, Mr. Vineet Upadhyay and



Mr. Ayush Anand, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND
ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom
Sethi, Advs.

43

+ W.P.(C) 8089/2026, CM APPL. 38637/2026-Stay

LAXMI COLLEGE OF EDUCATIONPetitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi
Kant, Mr. Vineet Upadhyay and
Mr. Ayush Anand, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND
ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom
Sethi, Advs.

44

+ W.P.(C) 8090/2026, CM APPL. 38638/2026-Stay

PRABHA DEVI MEMORIAL MAHAVIDYALAYA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi
Kant, Mr. Vineet Upadhyay and
Mr. Ayush Anand, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND
ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom
Sethi, Advs.

45

+ W.P.(C) 8091/2026, CM APPL. 38639/2026-Stay



MAA REWATI COLLEGE OF EDUCATIONPetitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi
Kant, Mr. Vineet Upadhyay and
Mr. Ayush Anand, Advs.
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND
ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom
Sethi, Advs.

46

+

W.P.(C) 8092/2026, CM APPL. 38640/2026-Stay

JAMNA GIRLS B.ED. COLLEGEPetitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi
Kant, Mr. Vineet Upadhyay and
Mr. Ayush Anand, Advs.
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND
ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom
Sethi, Advs.

47

+

W.P.(C) 8093/2026, CM APPL. 38641/2026-Stay

MEKALSUTA COLLEGEPetitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi
Kant, Mr. Vineet Upadhyay and
Mr. Ayush Anand, Advs.
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND
ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom
Sethi, Advs.



48

+ W.P.(C) 8094/2026, CM APPL. 38642/2026-Stay

CHHOTI DEVI MEMORIAL GIRLS B.ED. COLLEGE..Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi
Kant, Mr. Vineet Upadhyay and
Mr. Ayush Anand, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND
ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom
Sethi, Advs.

49

+ W.P.(C) 8095/2026, CM APPL. 38643/2026-Stay

SURATGARH PG COLLEGEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi
Kant, Mr. Vineet Upadhyay and
Mr. Ayush Anand, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND
ANRRespondents

Through: Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor and
Mr. Shivom Sethi, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

05.06.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petitions under *Article 226* of Constitution of India, the petitioners seek setting aside of the respective impugned refusal order(s) issued by the Western Regional Committee on diverse



dates, as also directions to the respondents to conduct inspection of petitioner institutes and decide their applications for recognition of conversion expeditiously and in a time bound manner, amongst other ancillary reliefs.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has handed over a copy of the order dated 29.05.2026 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in W.P. (C) 4860/2026 and other connected matters wherein the petitioners were granted relief under similar circumstances. He submits that since the same issues have already been adjudicated therein, the present petitions are also liable to be allowed in on similar terms.

3. Issue notice.

4. Learned counsel(s) for the respondent accept notice. He submits that though certain objections were raised by them in W.P. (C) 4860/2026, considering that the same issues have been decided *vide* the aforesaid order dated 29.05.2026, they have no objection, if the present petitions are also allowed and disposed of in the same terms as well.

5. Accordingly, the present petitions are allowed and the impugned refusal order(s) issued by the Western Regional Committee on diverse dates are set aside. As such, the respondents shall conduct inspection of the petitioner institutes within a period of *three weeks* from today and shall thereafter take all appropriate and necessary steps to ensure that the guidelines laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Maa Vaishno Devi Mahila Mahavidyalaya v. State of U.P.: (2013) 2 SCC 617*** are scrupulously complied with. The entire exercise, including the issuance of Show Cause Notice pointing out the deficiencies, furnishing of their replies, the adjudication of those show cause notices, and all other



ancillary activities connected therewith/ flowing therefrom shall be completed on or before 15.07.2026 for the forthcoming academic session 2026-2027. Let a copy of the inspection report be also supplied to the petitioners.

6. The present petitions, along with pending applications, are disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

7. A copy of this order be kept in all the connected matters.

**SAURABH BANERJEE, J
(VACATION JUDGE)**

JUNE 5, 2026/rr