



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1769/2026**

MR SACHIN SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Yash Rajput, Ms. Fizza Khan
and Mr. Gagan Rajput, Advs. with
petitioners in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand V. Khatri, ASC for State
with ASI Ved Prakash, PS: Palam
Village
R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

03.06.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Article 226* of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.351/2025 dated 09.06.2025 registered at PS.: Palam Village, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom in view of the Memorandum of Understanding dated 06.07.2025 [***Annexure P3***] arrived at between the petitioners and respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identity.
2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.
3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms



the terms of the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding dated 06.07.2025. She submits that out of the total settlement amount of Rs.32,00,000/-, the petitioners have already paid her a sum of Rs.26,00,000/- and today, a Demand Draft being DD No.050288 dated 18.04.2026 (State Bank of India, Branch-Sector 46, Gurgaon) of Rs.6,00,000/- has been handed over to her as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. She further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, *vide* judgment dated 08.12.2025 and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

5. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioners and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) qua the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived inter se themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.***: (2013) 4 SCC 58, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.***: (2012) 10 SCC 303 and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.***: (2014) 6 SCC 466, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.351/2025 dated 09.06.2025 registered at PS.: Palam Village, Delhi under *Sections*



498A/406/34 of IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

7. As such, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

**SAURABH BANERJEE, J.
(VACATION JUDGE)**

JUNE 03, 2026/So