



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 8024/2026, CM APPL. 38447/2026, CM APPL.
38448/2026
JAHNAVI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Chauhan, Mr. Shivam
Sharma and Ms. Jahnavi, Advs.

versus

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mohinder Rupal, Mr. Hardik
Rupal, Ms. Aishwarya Malhotra
and Ms. Tripta Sharma, Advs. for
R-1

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

01.06.2026

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Article 226* of the Constitution of India, the petitioner *inter alia* seeks issuance of appropriate writ/ order/ directions in the nature of *Mandamus* directing respondent no.3 to quash the Detainee List for the 2nd Semester (2025-2026) dated 14.05.2026, whereby the petitioner has been detained from appearing in her 4th Semester examination.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been arbitrarily and unlawfully detained from appearing in her second semester examinations. He submits that the petitioner has been punished for the faults of the administration, including failure to appoint adequate teaching faculty, non-adherence to the timetable, and non-conduct of mandatory minimum lectures as prescribed under *Rule 10* of the Bar Council of India Rules of Legal Education, 2008. To buttress his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the



decision of the Hon'ble Division Bench in ***Sushant Rohilla, Law Student of I.P. University, In re (2025 SCC OnLine Del 7920)***.

3. *Per contra*, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner has been rightly detained since she was only having 8% attendance as against the required 70%. He further submits that the decision of ***Sushant Rohilla (Supra)*** has been stayed and is pending consideration before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. He also relies upon the order dated 29.05.2026 passed by a co-ordinate bench of this Court in W.P.(C) 7736/2026 titled ***Varsha Rani & Ors. vs. University of Delhi & Ors.***, wherein under similar circumstances the very same reliefs were denied to the petitioners therein.

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions made by the learned counsel for the respondents, particularly, since the decision in ***Sushant Rohilla (supra)*** has been stayed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court as also considering the fact that it is categorically mentioned in the detainee list dated 14.05.2026 with respect to Law Centre-II, Faculty of Law, that "*It is hereby notified that the following students who did not attend the bare minimum of classes (less than 10% of attendance, including tutorials) and having filled up examination form, are not allowed to appear in the ensuing LL.B. Sem-II Examinations.*", there is no reason for this Court to allow the present petition.

5. As such, the present petition is dismissed.

**SAURABH BANERJEE, J
(VACATION JUDGE)**

JUNE 1, 2026/Ab