



\$~69

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1750/2026, CRL.M.A. 17657/2026**

TANMAY GARG & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Baldev Raj, Adv. petitioners in person.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) AND ANR.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, ASC for the State
Mr. Harsh Goyal, Adv. for R-2
SI- Mary Deswal, PS: Civil Lines

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

29.05.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Article 226* of the Constitution of India read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.569/2025 dated 31.12.2025 registered at PS: Civil Lines, North Delhi under *Sections 85/316(2)/3(5)* of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (**BNS**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom as the petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 have mutually settled their disputes vide Memorandum of Understanding (**MOU**) dated 06.04.2026 (**Annexure P3**).

2. Issue notice. Learned ASC for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid MOU, whereby out of total sum of



Rs.2,00,000/-, the petitioner no.1 has already paid her a settlement amount of Rs.1,00,000/- and today a Demand Draft being DD No.500075 dated 27.05.2026 (ICICI Bank) of Rs.1,00,000/- has been handed over to respondent no.2 as the full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims, etc. Respondent no.2 further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 *vide* Decree dated 02.05.2026, and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

5. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.: (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.569/2025 dated 31.12.2025 registered at PS: Civil Lines, North Delhi under *Sections 85/316(2)/3(5) BNS* and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.



7. As such, the present petition, along with the pending application, is disposed of in the aforesaid term.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

MAY 29, 2026/Ab