



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 2137/2026 & CRL.M.A. 17560/2026**

ANITA SINGH

.....Applicant

Through: **Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocate.**

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP.
SI Rajat.**

**Mr Prashant Diwan and Ms Ishika
Jindal, Advocates for complainant.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **29.05.2026**

1. By way of this application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the applicant seeks regular bail in connection with FIR No. 551/2025 dated 19.08.2025, registered at Police Station Ranhola, under Sections 115(2)/109(1)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. This is the second bail application filed by the applicant in this Court. The first bail application was dismissed by order dated 24.03.2026 in BAIL APPLN. 308/2026, with the following observations:

"1. By way of the present application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the applicant seeks grant of regular bail in connection with FIR No. 551/2025, dated 19.08.2025, registered under Sections 115(2)/109(1)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, lodged at Police Station Ranhola, Delhi.

2. Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, submits that although charges have been framed, complainant and other injured victims have not yet been examined.



3. After some arguments, Mr. Gautam Singhal, learned counsel for the applicant, seeks permission to withdraw this application, without prejudice to the applicant's right to move the Sessions Court at the appropriate stage.

4. The bail application is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as aforesaid.

5. It is clarified that this Court has not made any observation on the merits of the case, and all rights and contentions of the parties in any future application are left open.”

[Emphasis supplied.]

3. The admitted position is that the complainant's examination has not yet been completed. Mr. Manjeet Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, points out that there were two other injured victims, of whom the prosecution has stated that it would not be possible to examine one, due to his medical condition (dysphasia/age). However, after some arguments, Mr. Singh seeks permission to withdraw the present application, with liberty to approach the learned Sessions Court again at the appropriate stage.

4. It is made clear that the Sessions Court may consider such a request on its own merits, including in the event of any inordinate delay in the examination of the complainant or other witnesses.

5. The bail application, along with the pending application, is dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the aforesaid submissions.

6. It is clarified that this Court has not made any observation on the merits of the case, and all rights and contentions of the parties in any future application are left open.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MAY 29, 2026/SS/JM/