



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6410/2024 and CM APPL. 26626/2024, CM APPL. 68878/2024

DANIEL ALEXANDER FOLEY

.....Petitioner
Through: Dr. Amit George, Mr. Dhiraj Abraham Philip, Mr. Febin Mathew Varghese, Mr. Dushyant Kishan Kaul, Ms. Soyarchon Khangrah, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA

.....Respondent
Through: Ms. Nidhi Raman CGSC With Mr. Akash Mishra Arnav Mittal, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

29.04.2026

1. The paragraph no. 6 of the short-affidavit filed by respondents-Union of India indicates that the period of black-listing is already over on 08.04.2026. The paragraph 6 is extracted as under:

“6. That it is further clarified that the 180 days stay stipulation means that cumulative stay of a foreign national in a calendar year (starting 1st January every year) should not exceed 180 days in India regardless of his number of entries in India. If the stay exceeds beyond 180 days, then the foreigner is required to register himself with the jurisdictional FRRO. The petitioner was mostly staying in India since last 4-5 years (exceeding 180 days every calendar year) without registering with the jurisdictional



FRRO in order to hoodwink the immigration/local authorities for his ulterior motives and to avoid penal action. For his above actions the Petitioner has been blacklisted under the appropriate category as per the relevant blacklisting guidelines on 08.04.2024. His blacklisting is due to expire on 08.04.2026.”

2. In view thereof, the petitioner is granted liberty to file application before the concerned Authority for issuance of appropriate visitor's Visa. If such an application is filed, let the same be considered in accordance with law.
3. With the aforesaid directions, the petition, along with pending applications, stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

APRIL 29, 2026

aks