



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8686/2021 & CM APPL. 27056/2021**

**NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND
PANCHAYATI RAJ AND ANR**Petitioners

Through: Mr. Prashant Singh, Advocate.

versus

**NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR SCHEDULED CASTES AND
ANR**Respondents

Through: Mr. T. P. Singh, Sr. Central Govt.
Counsel for NCSC.
Mr. Vikrant N Goyal, Mr. Kunal
Dixit, Mr. Piyush wadhwa, Mr. Yash
basoya, Advs. for UoI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

13.01.2026

1. During the course of hearing, it transpires that on the complaint of respondent no.2, certain notices were issued to the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, however, submits that the petitioners will cooperate with the enquiry being carried out by respondent no.1, however, the personal appearance of the officials may not be necessary.
3. The said submissions, at this stage, seem to be reasonable and accordingly, the petitioners are granted liberty to submit their reply to respondent no.1 commissioner with respect to the notice sent by the respondent no.1.



4. Let respondent no.1 to consider the same and to take the controversy to its logical conclusion.
5. Respondent no.1, however, shall be at liberty to seek further information from the petitioners, and the petitioners shall be under an obligation to furnish the same if the information is possessed by the.
6. With the aforesaid directions, the instant petition stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

JANUARY 13, 2026/P/MJ