



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 194/2026**

RASHMI MEHTA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Manu Nayar, Ms.
Meenakshi, Mr. Lali, Ms.
Harshita, Advocate with
Appellant in person.

versus

SH DEEPAK ROHILLA

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Vandana, Ms. Nancy,
Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER

% **29.05.2026**

CM APPL. 37798/2026 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The present application stands disposed of.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 194/2026 & CM APPL. 37797/2026 – STAY

3. The present appeal is filed under section 19 of the family courts act, 1984 read with section 47 of the Gaurdians and Wards Act, 1890 by the appellant assailing the Order dated 06.05.2026 passed by the Learend Judge, Family Court-02, west District, Tis Hazari Courts in G.P. No. 82/2025 titled as '*Deepak Rohilla v. Rashmi Mehta*', whereby the following visitation rights have been granted to the respondent/father:-

'Having considered the above submissions, this



Court is of the view that petitioner should not be deprived of his right to meet the minor child any longer. As such, as an interim measure, it is directed that:-

(a) Respondent shall bring the minor child to The Children Room, District Courts, Faridabad, Haryana on every 1st and 3rd Saturday of the month at around 02:00 p.m., where, the petitioner is permitted to meet the minor child from 02 :00 p.m. to 04:00 p.m.

(b) It is expected from the parties that they will not pollute the mind of the said minor child against each other so that environment of the minor child shall remain congenial for overall development of the minor child.

(c) During the said visitation, the said parties shall maintain peaceful and cordial behaviour with each other and parties will not create ruckus at that time, at the said place, in order to maintain peace and harmony amongst themselves as well as for the welfare of minor child.

(d) Petitioner will not be allowed to give any eatables to the minor child, however, he is permitted to give gift(s) to the said minor child.

(e) The respondent can remain at the visible and hearing distance but she shall not disturb/ interrupt in the said visitation. If the said petitioner wants to give gift(s) to the minor child, then, he is permitted to do so.

(f) Respondent is directed to comply with the aforesaid visitation rights granted in favour of the petitioner.'

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant has no objection to the respondent being granted visitation rights with the minor daughter but has objection to the visitation rights being granted at Faridabad.

5. This grievance is threefold. Firstly, he submits that the learned Family Court erred in directing visitation at the District Court, Faridabad, particularly when the Hon'ble Supreme Court had already transferred the guardianship petition filed by the respondent from the



District Court, Faridabad to the competent court at Delhi.

6. Secondly, he submits that the appellant is working as a doctor on a contractual basis, and visitation on every 1st and 3rd Saturday of the month at the Children's Room, District Court, Faridabad, would not be in the best interest of the minor child, as the child's school schedule is likely to be disturbed and it would also not be feasible for the appellant to take the minor child to Faridabad on each occasion.

7. Thirdly, he prays that such visitation rights be supervised by the Child Counsellor, so as to save the child from any trauma.

8. Learned counsel for the respondent, who appears on advance service, submits that the respondent has no objection if the visitation rights granted by the learned Family Court are modified to the aforesaid extent.

9. Heard learned counsels for parties.

10. This Court is also of the view that, at this stage, having regard to the welfare of the minor child and the attending facts and circumstances, the interim visitation rights deserve to be modified.

11. In view of the aforesaid, with the consent of the parties, this Court deems it appropriate to modify sub-clause (a) of the Impugned Order dated 06.05.2026 in the following terms:

(a) Respondent shall bring the minor child to Delhi High Court mediation and conciliation centre on every 2nd and 4th Saturday of the month at around 03:00 p.m., where, the petitioner is permitted to meet the minor child from 03 :00 p.m. to 05:00 p.m., in the presence of the child counsellor attached with the Mediation cell.

12. All other terms and conditions mentioned in the impugned



Order, shall remain unaltered.

13. In view of the aforesaid, the present appeal, along with all pending applications, stands disposed of on the aforesaid terms.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

MAY 29, 2026

Neha/kz