



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.A. 296/2022 & CRL.M.A. 12747/2022, CRL.M.A.
14462/2022
STATE

.....Appellant
Through: Mr.SV Raju, ASG with
Mr.Dhruv Pande, Adv. along
with Insp Sandeep

versus
ISHRAT JAHAN@ PINKI

.....Respondent
Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

% **24.04.2026**

1. This appeal has been filed challenging the order dated 14.03.2022 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge in I.A. No. 93/2021, directing the respondent herein to be released on bail in FIR No. 59/2020 dated 06.03.2020, originally registered under Sections 147, 148, 149 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short, "IPC") at Police Station Crime Branch, Delhi. Thereafter, offences under Sections 109, 114, 124A, 153A, 186, 201, 212, 295, 302, 307, 341, 353, 395, 419, 420, 427, 435, 436, 452, 454, 468, 471 and 34 of the IPC; Sections 13, 16, 17 and 18 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967; Sections 3 and 4 of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984; and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, were added to the subject FIR.

2. Since a considerable period of more than four years has passed since the Impugned Order, and there is no allegation that the



respondent has in any manner violated the terms of the bail, we are not inclined to interfere with the Impugned Order in the present appeal.

3. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed. We make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the appeal.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

APRIL 24, 2026/rv/Yg