



\$~18

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

% *Date of Decision: 29th May, 2026*

+ CRL.M.C. 4323/2026 & CRL.M.A. 17403/2026

SAURABH NARANG ALIAS SUMIT NARANG AND OTHERS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tarun Kumar Arora, Advocate
alongwith petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Priyanka Dalal, APP for the State
with SI Vinit Kumar.

Mr. Nitesh Kumar Singh, Mr.
Mangesh Naik, Mr. Devender Singh,
Advocates for R-2 alongwith
respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of FIR No. 312/2017 dated 12.09.2017, registered at Police Station Kirti Nagar, for commission of offences under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. The marriage between complainant (respondent No.2 herein) and petitioner No.1 was solemnized on 12.02.2016, as per Hindu rites and customs. No child is born from the abovesaid wedlock.
3. However, on account of temperamental differences, the parties started residing separately and when a complaint was lodged by respondent No.2, it



resulted into registration of the abovesaid FIR.

4. Charge-sheet has already been filed.

5. However, when the matter was referred to mediation, the parties were able to amicably resolve the matter under the *aegis* of *Delhi Mediation Centre, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi* on 18.02.2026.

6. It is in the abovesaid backdrop that quashing is being sought.

7. Respondent no. 2 is present in person, alongwith her mother and she has been duly identified by her counsel as well as by Investigating Officer.

8. When asked, respondent No. 2 reiterates the terms of abovesaid settlement. She also submits that there is already a divorce between them by way of mutual consent, way back on 16.03.2019. She submits in order to have peace of mind, she has entered into the abovesaid settlement and has relinquished all her rights and claims with respect to maintenance, alimony, *istridhan* and jewellery articles. She states that she has entered into the abovesaid settlement out of her own free will, without any coercion and influence from any corner whatsoever and therefore, she would have '*no objection*' if FIR in question is quashed.

9. She, however, prays that there should not be any interference from the side of petitioners in her personal life in the future.

10. Petitioners are present and, when asked, they submitted that they would abide by the settlement and would not contact respondent No.2 or interfere in her life.

11. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose, especially, when dispute does not involve any public interest and is, primarily, private in nature. In any case, even the complainant does not wish to press any charges against the



petitioners.

12. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of *Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*, it is deemed appropriate to quash the instant FIR.

13. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No. 312/2017 dated 12.09.2017, registered at Police Station Kirti Nagar, for commission of offences under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is, hereby, quashed.

14. Original affidavits of the parties, copies of which have been placed on record in the present proceedings, shall be submitted before the learned Trial Court within four weeks from today, so that these become part of Trial Court Record.

15. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

16. Pending application also stands disposed of.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

MAY 29, 2026/ss/pb