



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5497/2025 with CM APPL. 25061/2025**

KAKU RAM

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Naman Mittal, Advocate.**

versus

MCD THROUGH ITS COMMISSIONER

.....Respondent

Through: **Ms. Shivangi Kumar, Mr. Animesh Verma & Ms. Pooja Kumari, Advocates for R-1.**

Mr. R.K. Dhawan, Standing Counsel for DDA with Ms. Nisha Dhawan, Mr. Pawan Karan Deo & Mr. V.K. Teng, Advocates for DDA.

Mr. Durgesh Kumar Pandey, Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Mr. Amit Gupta, Ms. Ritika Davis Franklin & Mr. Kartik Dhingra, Advocates for R-9.

Mr. Tushar Sannu, Standing Counsel for DJB with Mr. Fajallu Rehman & Mr. Vineet, JE for DJB.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

30.03.2026

1. Status report dated 25th March 2026 filed on behalf of the respondent no.1/ MCD states as under:

- i. The regularization applications filed on behalf of the private respondents have been rejected. Accordingly, demolition programme was carried out on 16th March, 2026, whereby one RCC panel has been demolished and reinforcement has also been cut down.



- ii. Photographs of the demolished structure have been filed along with the status report.
- iii. Further, a watch and ward letter dated 16th March, 2026 has also been issued to the concerned SHO, P.S. North Rohini to ensure that no further unauthorized construction is carried out.
2. Counsel appearing on behalf of the private respondent no. 9 assures the court that no construction shall be carried out in the subject properties, except in accordance with law.
3. Accordingly, the present petition along with all pending application(s) stands disposed of in the above terms.
4. In the event the petitioner has any further grievance with regard to unauthorised construction, he is at liberty to take appropriate remedies in accordance with law.
5. All rights, contentions and legal remedies of the private respondents are kept open.

AMIT BANSAL, J

MARCH 30, 2026

at