



\$~68 & 70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 4254/2026**

SUSHIL KUMAR AND ANRPetitioners

Through: Mr. K.K. Aggarwal, Ms. Gayatri
Aggarwal, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP
for State.
SI Vikas Drall, PS Rajouri Garden.

+ **CRL.M.C. 4262/2026**

SUSHIL KUMAR AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Mr. K.K. Aggarwal, Ms. Gayatri
Aggarwal, Advocates.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP
for State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **26.05.2026**

CRL.M.A. 17132/2026 (for exemption) in CRL.M.C. 4254/2026

CRL.M.A. 17165/2026 (for exemption) in CRL.M.C. 4262/2026

Exemptions allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The applications stand disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 4254/2026 & CRL.M.C. 4262/2026

1. By way of these petitions under Section 528 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioners seek quashing of two



FIRs, being FIR No. 594/2022, dated 14.10.2022, under Sections 420/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 [“IPC”], lodged at Police Station Rajouri Garden, at the instance of one Sachin Taneja [subject matter of CRL.M.C. 4254/2026], and FIR No. 361/2022, dated 25.04.2022, under Sections 406/420/120B of IPC, registered at Police Station Ashok Vihar, at the instance of Sanjeev Kesar [subject matter of CRL.M.C. 4262/2026], both of which are predicated on an allegation of fraudulent GST transactions.

2. As far as CRL.M.C. 4254/2026 is concerned, Mr. Yudhvair Singh Chauhan, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, who appears on advance notice, states upon instructions from the Investigating Officer [“IO”], that a closure report has already been filed before the Trial Court. He draws my attention to an order of the Trial Court dated 27.04.2026, which has been annexed to the petition, in which a date has been fixed for consideration of the closure report. The order records that the IO has been partly heard, and Court had deemed it appropriate to summon the complainant.

3. In view thereof, no orders are required in CRL.M.C. 4254/2026 at this stage. All right and contentions of the petitioners may be agitated before the Trial Court in consideration of the closure report and/or protest petition, if any subsequently filed.

4. As far as CRL.M.C. 4262/2026 is concerned, Mr. Chauhan states that the final report will be filed within a period of three months.

5. As the investigation is already being carried out for more than four years in CRL.M.C. 4262/2026, the IO is directed to take expeditious steps to conclude the investigation and file the final report, one way or the



other. The petitioners are free to exercise their rights and remedies before the Trial Court, upon filing of the final report and approach this Court at the appropriate stage, if necessary.

6. The petitions are disposed of with these directions.

MAY 26, 2026
'pv'/AD/

PRATEEK JALAN, J