



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1355/2024**

VISHU DASS

.....Petitioner

Through: **Ms. Saumya Yadav, Advocate.**

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Khitiz Garg, Advocate for Mr.
Amol Sinha, ASC for the State.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

11.02.2026

CRL.M.A. 4655/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.A. 4654/2026 (early hearing)

By way of the present application filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioner seeks advancement of the date of hearing in the matter, which is otherwise posted on 24.04.2026.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, which is duly supported by affidavit, the application is allowed.
3. The matter is taken-up for hearing today.
4. The application stands disposed-of.

W.P.(CRL) 1355/2024

5. By way of the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 read with under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks expeditious disposal and



day-to-day trial in case SC No.438/2019 pending before the learned Additional Sessions Judge-01, North-West District, Rohini Courts, Delhi.

6. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits, that the petitioner is facing trial in case FIR No.230/2019 dated 22.02.2019, which was originally registered only under section 363 of the Indian Penal Code 1860 ('IPC') at P.S. Sultanpuri, Outer District, Delhi.
7. Counsel submits, that thereafter, charges were framed *inter-alia* against the petitioner under sections 363/366/342/376(2)(n)/354/370/34 of the IPC and sections 5(1)/6 of the Protection of Children from the Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and sections 5/6 of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956.
8. Counsel submits, that though the FIR was registered in 2019, prosecution evidence commenced only in 2025; and out of 24 prosecution witnesses, only 05 have been examined so far, despite lapse of 07 years from the date of registration of the FIR. It is submitted, that in the meantime, the petitioner has been languishing in jail; and has only received some respite by way of interim bail.
9. Mr. Kshitiz Garg, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the learned Additional Standing Counsel confirms the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner. In view thereof, Mr. Garg does not oppose the prayer made in the petition, which is for expeditious disposal of the trial court proceedings, preferably by conducting day-to-day trial.
10. The next date before the learned trial court is stated to be 06.05.2026, for examination of one of the prosecution witnesses. The court is informed that the deposition of the victim has already been recorded.



11. Upon a conspectus of the foregoing circumstances, and in view of the submissions made, this court is inclined to allow the present petition.
12. The petition is accordingly disposed-of, with a direction to the learned trial court to conclude the trial proceedings in the matter expeditiously, and in any event *within 09 months* of the next date of hearing before that court, *i.e.*, 06.05.2026.
13. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.
14. The date of 24.04.2026 given earlier stands cancelled.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

FEBRUARY 11, 2026

SS